

**CITY OF MOBILE**  
**ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD**

Minutes of the Meeting  
October 12, 2004

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order at 3:05 p.m. by Acting Chair, Bunky Ralph.

Ed Hooker, MHDC Architectural Engineer, called the roll as follows:

**Members Present:** Tilmon Brown, Harris Oswalt, Bunky Ralph, David Tharp, Joe Sackett, Douglas Kearley and Cameron Pfeiffer.

**Members Absent:** Cindy Klotz, Lynda Burkett, Michael Mayberry and Robert Brown.

Staff members present: Ed Hooker, Anne Crutcher, Devereaux Bemis, Wanda Cochran.

<u>In Attendance</u>	<u>Mailing Address</u>	<u>Item Number</u>
Albert Reynolds	7 Ashley Drive 36608	098-03/04-CA
Don Williams	6300 Piccadilly Square Dr.	094-03/04-CA

**APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

Douglas Kearley moved to approve the minutes as mailed. The motion was seconded by Joe Sackett and approved.

**APPROVAL OF THE MID-MONTH CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS**

David Tharp moved to approve the mid-month Certificates of Appropriateness. The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

**MID MONTH APPROVALS**

1. Applicant's Name: Joanne Maceluch  
Property Address: 1405-1409 Eslava Street  
Date of Approval: 8/26/04 weh  
Work Approved: Repair or replace existing deteriorated siding. Paint taupe with white trim.
2. Applicant's Name: Thomas Roofing Company  
Property Address: 1609 Government Street  
Date of Approval: 8/30/04 asc  
Work Approved: Remove tile roof, replace underlayment, and reinstall tile.
3. Applicant's Name: Danny Woods  
Property Address: 212 South Dearborn Street  
Date of Approval: 8/30/04 jdb  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Level front piers – appearance of brick to remain. Repaint house in the following Valspar or equivalent color scheme:  
Body – Redstone Dakota Sandstone  
Shutters – Lincoln Cottage Black  
Trim – Gilded Linen  
Accent – Fandango Red

4. Applicant's Name: Samuel Parker  
Property Address: 1121 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 8/31/04 asc  
Work Approved: Re-roof with dimensional shingles, black in color.
5. Applicant's Name: Qwuanyel Rodgers  
Property Address: 566-568 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 8/31/04  
Work Approved: Install signage on building.  
556 Dauphin Street - Install one projecting sign, double-sided measuring 20 sf. Install transfers on glass in door, one measuring 2' x 2' or 4 sf, and one measuring 2' x 1 ½', or 3 sf.  
558 Dauphin Street - Install one projecting sign, double-sided measuring 20 sf. Install transfers on glass in door, one measuring 2' x 2' or 4 sf, and one measuring 2' x 1 ½', or 3 sf.
- Total signage for both buildings measures 57 sf.
- Wall signs to be painted wood, green border, blue text on white background. Transfer text to be green
- Signs to be mounted from existing projecting poles with chain supports, and lit by existing floodlights at bottom of pole.
6. Applicant's Name: Carl Jordan/Zafiris Construction  
Property Address: 15 S. Reed Ave.  
Date of Approval: 9/1/04 asc  
Work Approved: Install new shingle roof, charcoal in color.
7. Applicant's Name: Malcolm Howell  
Property Address: 204 Roper Street  
Date of Approval: 9/8/04 weh  
Work Approved: Repaint house in existing Sherwin Williams color scheme:  
Body: Niagra Mist SW2094  
Shutters and porch: Black Forest SW 2238  
Trim: White
8. Applicant's Name: Jason Lowe/J.Lowe Roofing & Construction  
Property Address: 1010 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 9/8/04 weh  
Work Approved: Re-roof with GAF 3 tab shingles, slate in color.
9. Applicant's Name: Allan & Christy Gustin  
Property Address: 251 South Georgia Avenue  
Date of Approval: 9/13/04 weh

- Work Approved: Remove deteriorated roof balustrade & replace with balustrade matching that on first floor porch. Install plinths over first floor columns recreating original second floor balustrade. Re-roof with dimensional shingles. Replace existing aluminum windows with new wood one-over-one windows matching the original in profile and dimension. Remove existing vinyl shutters and install new operable wood shutters matching original in profile and dimension.
10. Applicant's Name: John Green/Terminix  
Property Address: 160 Roberts Street  
Date of Approval: 9/9/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repair termite damage. Replace wood siding as necessary with new wood siding to match in dimension and profile. Paint new materials to match existing.
11. Applicant's Name: V&K Investments  
Property Address: 207 S. Cedar Street  
Date of Approval: October 18, 2004  
Work Approved: Repair to rotten wood with new wood to match existing in dimension and profile; Paint in the existing color scheme.
12. Applicant's Name: Jeb Schrenk  
Property Address: 157 Houston Street  
Date of Approval: 9/10/04 weh  
Work Approved: Repair roof leak
13. Applicant's Name: Martha Searcy  
Property Address: 1059 Augusta Street  
Date of Approval: 9/13/04 weh  
Work Approved: Install wood louvered blinds matching existing on 3 exposed windows.
14. Applicant's Name: Debbie DeGuire  
Property Address: 209 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 9/20/04 weh  
Work Approved: Replace existing awning with Sunbrella Sapphire/white, #4803, with "A&M Peanut" on valance. Repaint building as follows:  
Body – Devoe Moth Gray 2H38F  
Trim – Creamy Cream 1H28P  
Accent – Bison 2038P
15. Applicant's Name: Larry John Construction  
Property Address: 1213 New St. Francis  
Date of Approval: 9/21/04 weh  
Work Approved: Re-roof flat section of apartment buildings with torch-down roof.

16. Applicant's Name: Drake and Laura Williams  
 Property Address: 1203 Government Street  
 Date of Approval: 9/21/04 weh  
 Work Approved: Replace destroyed porch balustrade on second floor roof deck with materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Repaint to match existing.
17. Applicant's Name: J. O'Brian Construction  
 Property Address: 964 Palmetto Street  
 Date of Approval: 9/23/04 asc  
 Work Approved: Repair roof with new materials to match existing in material, profile, dimension and color. Replace rotten wood as necessary with new to match existing in material, profile and dimension.
18. Applicant's Name: Jason Estes  
 Property Address: 110 Houston Street  
 Date of Approval: 9/23/04 weh  
 Work Approved: Repair storm damage to detached garage, including roof, soffit & fascia, siding, etc. New materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Paint to match existing.
19. Applicant's Name: Ace Roofing LLC  
 Property Address: 456 Civic Center Drive  
 Date of Approval: 9/23/04 weh  
 Work Approved: Re-roof building with materials matching existing in profile, color and dimension.

**NEW BUSINESS**

1. **094-03/04-CA:** 208 Dauphin Street  
 Applicant: Don Williams, Engineer  
 Nature of Request: Remove existing Dauphin Street exterior elevation. Recreate 1930s storefront exterior from historic photographs as per submitted plans.  
  
 APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. Certified Record attached.
2. **095- 03/04-CA:** 210 Rapier Avenue  
 Applicant: Steve Guerin, Owner/ Don Williams, Engineer  
 Nature of Request: Remove existing 3' high wood fence and Replace with a 6'-6" stucco-covered masonry wall as per submitted design. Construct 20' x 27' carport with rear storage room, with materials matching main house in profile and dimension.  
 NOTE: A Variance is required from the Board of Zoning Adjustment to allow this wall to be constructed on the property line at the sidewalk.  
  
 APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. Certified Record attached.

3. **096-03/04-CA:** 1160 Church Street  
Applicant: Roy and Rebecca Burns  
Nature of Request: Construct fence on property line as per submitted design. Wood fence to be 6' high, with cap. Two sets of driveway gates as shown on site plan. Fence either left to weather or be stained with an opaque stain.  
NOTE: A variance is required from the Board of Zoning Adjustment to place this fence along the sidewalk.

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. Certified Record attached.

4. **097-03/04-CA:** 1111 Government Street  
Applicant: Saucy Q Bar-B-Q, Elbert Wingfield, Owner  
Nature of Request: Install 2 rotisserie smokers into existing west wall of building behind an existing 8' wood privacy fence, as per submitted plans. Relocate existing signage from current location to new location.

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. Certified Record attached.

**EMERGENCY ADDENDUM TO THE AGENDA:**

5. **098-03/04-CA** 69 N. Monterey Street  
Applicant: Albert Reynolds  
Nature of Request Add dormer to roof damaged as a result of Hurricane Ivan.

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS. Certified Record attached.

Miscellaneous Business:

1. Bunky Ralph announced that the Rules and Regulations Committee has completed its work. Proposed Rules and Regulations will be mailed to all Board members and voted on at the next meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS  
CERTIFIED RECORD**

**094-03/04 – CA**                      208 Dauphin Street  
**Applicant:**                      Don Williams, Engineer  
**Received:**                      9/27/04                      **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 11/07/04                      1) 10/12/04    2)                      3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:**                      Lower Dauphin Street Commercial Historic District  
**Classification:**                      Contributing  
**Zoning:**                      B-4, General Business  
**Conflicts of Interest:** Douglas Kearley recused himself from discussion and voting on the application.  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Building  
**Nature of Project:**  
    Remove existing Dauphin Street exterior elevation. Recreate  
    1930s storefront exterior from historic photographs as per submitted plans.

**History of the Project and Current Condition:**

208 Dauphin Street was constructed on the site of a former downtown building in 1885 as the Crescent Theater. Owner A. Pincus hired architect C. L. Hutchisson to design the new theater building. In 1936, Van Antwerp Realty hired J.F. Pate Construction to remodel the structure. Presumably at this time the façade of the building was altered to reflect a monolithic somewhat “Moderne” appearance.

The most recent occupant, Derry’s Olde Tyme Café, was destroyed by fire in May. All that remains of the structure are the exterior walls.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**  
*Lower Dauphin Street Commercial District Design Guidelines*

<u><b>Sections</b></u>	<u><b>Topic</b></u>	<u><b>Description of Work</b></u>
4	Rehabilitation/Restoration Guidelines for Existing Buildings	Reconstruct storefront

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “ The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:... Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district...”

**STAFF REPORT**

**General**

**Building Condition Example 3: Original Design Significantly Altered**

“These buildings generally have a plain front with no ornamentation or detail, and fail to relate well to adjacent historic buildings. For a situation in which the original detail has merely been hidden by a

covering, the guidelines encourage removal of the covering and restoration of the original design. Where detailing has been removed, an entirely new design compatible with older adjacent buildings or a façade reconstruction based on photo-documentary evidence is encouraged. If removal of an applied modern storefront will damage the underlying historic fabric of the facade, or the newer facade has achieved historic status (50 years or older), then removal is discouraged.”

1. The existing storefront is no longer extant.
2. The existing second floor above the storefront was severely damaged during the fire.
3. The proposed storefront is based on historic photographs.
4. The proposed storefront consists of an arch over a recessed vestibule, with single glazed entry doors on the extreme left and right sides of the storefront. A glass bay with marble bulkhead projects towards the sidewalk, making architectural reference to the original theater ticket booth.
5. The proposed storefront glazing system is anodized aluminum, bronze in color.
6. Detailing for the proposed second floor windows includes fluted arched architraves framing double hung wood windows. A painted Fypon cornice in the Eastlake style completes the building cornice.
7. Fypon has been approved for use as decorative elements on a number of buildings on Dauphin Street, most notably the Zoghby Building at 310 Dauphin.

Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

The applicant provide a sample of the marble for the bulkhead.

Paint/color samples be submitted for approval.

### **PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

Staff reported that it had worked with the applicant to improve the storefront design. It recommended that the doors be 8 ft. in height and that the adjacent vertical panels continue the same marble that to be used in the bulkhead. Staff also suggested that the horizontal bars be eliminated from the doors in order to continue the vertical element through the transom. There will also be a panel in the area between the windows and the storefront creating a reveal. The applicant agreed to these changes and feels he will be able to work with staff.

Tilmon Brown asked the applicant why a wood storefront is not proposed. The applicant responded that the building faces south and there will be no canopy to protect the storefront. In addition, there is a mill finish storefront in place. Wood windows, however, will be used on the second floor.

There was no one else to speak in favor of or in opposition to the application.

There was no public comment or comment from City departments to add to the record.

### **BOARD DISCUSSION**

There was no Board discussion.

### **FINDING OF FACT**

David Tharp moved to find the facts in the staff report and that based upon the facts and testimony presented at the meeting, that the application will not impair the building or the adjacent historic district. The motion was seconded by Harris Oswalt and approved.

## **DECISION ON THE APPLICATION**

David Tharp moved to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness conditioned upon the applicant submitting a marble sample and paint colors to staff.

Certificate of Appropriateness Expiration Date: 10/12/05.





A. The Guidelines state that Fences “should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement, and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”

1. The main residence is a ca. 1908 two story wood frame Foursquare on the northwest corner of Rapier and Palmetto Streets.
2. Currently there is a 3’ high painted wood picket fence along the property
3. The previous owners removed the city sidewalk along the east elevation during the remodeling of the residence in the mid 1980s.
4. The proposed stucco-covered masonry fence is 6’-6” high, with 7’ high piers, starting at a point 7’ forward of the southeast corner of the house, and extending from the house approximately 8’-4” east to the sidewalk, then turning and running down the sidewalk a distance of approximately 55’, and stopping 4’ short of the double car driveway, turning west and running approximately 30’ to the end of the proposed garage.
5. There is an existing 7’ high masonry fence on the west property line.
6. Typically privacy fences are limited to 6’ in height unless the property adjoins a commercially-zoned property.
7. The subject property and adjacent properties are zoned R-1, Single Family Residential.

Staff recommends approval of Request 1 with the following conditions:

1. The fence height be lowered to 6’ and piers lowered to 7’.
2. The applicants receive a variance from the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

**Request 2:** Construct 20’ x 27’ carport with rear storage room, with materials matching main house in profile and dimension.

A. The Guidelines state that “The appropriateness of accessory structures shall be measured by the Guidelines applicable to new construction. The structure should compliment the design and scale of the main building.”

1. The main residence is a ca. 1908 two story wood frame Foursquare.
2. The proposed two car carport with attached storage room measures 20’ x 27’.
3. The setback of the proposed garage is approximately 9’ from the property line.
4. The hipped roof matches that of the main house.
5. Six-over-one wood windows to match those on the main house.
6. Square fiberglass columns match the wood columns on the front of the structure.
7. Wood siding, corner boards, soffit, eave and fascia all to match that on the main house.

Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

That a survey be done to determine the exact location of the City Right-of-Way and the subject property line, as there is no longer a city sidewalk.

### **PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

Don Williams appeared on behalf of the owner.

There was no additional testimony from the public or from City departments to enter into the record.

### **BOARD DISCUSSION**

Bunky Ralph questioned whether the Overlay Ordinance would come into play and the owner would not have to seek a variance. Staff responded that a variance will be required to construct the fence; the carport will not require a variance since it will be in line with the main house and the adjacent residence.

The Board decided to vote on the requests separately.

### **FINDING OF FACT**

Fence request: Douglas Kearley moved to find the facts in the staff report making corrections to items 1 and 4. The motion was seconded by Joe Sackett and approved.

Carport request: Joe Sackett moved to find the facts in the staff report. The motion was seconded by Harris Oswald and approved.

### **DECISION ON THE APPLICATION**

Fence request: Tilmon Brown moved that the fence as amended will not impair the integrity of the house or the district. The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

Carport request. Tilmon Brown moved that the carport will not impair the historic house or the district. The motion was seconded by David Tharp and approved.

David Tharp moved to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness for both requests with the conditions that the fence be lowered to 6 ft with 7 ft. piers, that a variance be obtained for the fence, and that paint colors be submitted to staff for the carport. The motion was seconded by Harris Oswald and approved.

Certificate of Appropriateness Expiration Date: 10/12/05.

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS  
CERTIFIED RECORD**

**096-03/04-CA:** 1160 Church Street  
**Applicant:** Roy and Rebecca Burns  
**Received:** 9/27/04                      **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 11/07/04      1) 10/12/04    2)                      3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:** Oakleigh Garden Historic District  
**Classification:** Contributing  
**Zoning:** R-1, Single Family Residential  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Fence  
**Nature of Project:** Construct fence on property line as per submitted design. Wood fence to be 6’high, with cap. Two sets of driveway gates as shown on site plan. Fence either left to weather or be stained with an opaque stain.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**  
*Lower Dauphin Street Commercial District Design Guidelines*

<b><u>Sections</u></b>	<b><u>Topic</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Work</u></b>
3	Fences, Walls & Gates	Construct wood privacy fence

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “ The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:...Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district...”

**STAFF REPORT**

**General**

The Guidelines state that “The standards listed and shown...illustrate elements that contribute to the architectural character of the buildings in Mobile’s historic districts. These define the architectural style of the buildings and establish a repetition of forms and details, which create harmony and character of the historic districts.

- A. The Guidelines state that Fences “should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement, and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”
  - 1. The main residence is a ca. 1898 one and one-half story wood frame Victorian structure.
  - 2. The proposed capped wood privacy fence is 6’ high.
  - 3. Typically privacy fences are limited to 6’ in height unless the property adjoins a commercially-zoned property.

4. The subject property and adjacent properties are zoned R-1, Single Family Residential.

Staff recommends approval of Request 1 with the following conditions:

1. The applicants receive a variance from the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

### **PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

There was no one present to speak in favor of or in opposition to the application. Staff had no comments from the public or City departments to read into the record.

### **BOARD DISCUSSION**

Bunky Ralph asked about this project relative to the Historic District Overlay Ordinance. Staff explained that the Zoning Ordinance does not allow building on the property line on a corner, so a variance will be required.

### **FINDING OF FACT**

David Tharp found the facts in the staff report with item 3 amended. The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

### **DECISION ON THE APPLICATION**

Harris Oswald moved that this proposal does not impair the historic character of the house or adjacent district and that a Certificate of Appropriateness be issued once a variance has been obtained.

Certificate of Appropriateness Expiration Date: 10/12/05.

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS  
CERTIFIED RECORD**

**097-03/04-CA:** 1111 Government Street  
**Applicant:** Saucy Q Bar-B-Q/ Elbert Wingfield, Owner  
**Received:** 9/23/04                      **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 11/07/04      1) 10/12/04    2)                      3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:** Oakleigh Garden Historic District  
**Classification:** Non-Contributing  
**Zoning:** B-2  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Building  
**Nature of Project:** Install 2 rotisserie smokers into existing west wall of building as per submitted plans.  
Relocate existing neon sign from current location to new location.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**  
*Lower Dauphin Street Commercial District Design Guidelines*  
*Sign Design Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts*

<b><u>Sections</u></b>	<b><u>Topic</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Work</u></b>
3	Accessory Structures	Install 2 rotisserie ovens
	Signage	Relocate existing sign

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “ The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:... Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district...”

**STAFF REPORT**

**General**

The Guidelines state that “The standards listed and shown... illustrate elements that contribute to the architectural character of the buildings in Mobile’s historic districts. These define the architectural style of the buildings and establish a repetition of forms and details, which create harmony and character of the historic districts.

**Work Request 1 – Install Two Smokers**

1. The subject structure is a one story brick veneer ranch-style restaurant, originally built for the Western Sizzlin Steak House chain.
2. The proposed construction is to occur at a point 60’ back from the sidewalk.
3. The proposed side setback from the west property line is 5’.

4. The proposed construction consists of a concrete slab, measuring 6'-6" wide by 14' long. Two steel pipe columns support a shed roof that is 8'-5" high at the eave and 10'-3" high at the building.
5. Under this shed addition will be placed two smokers, whose faces pierce the west wall through a hole measuring almost 6' by 57", spaced 30" apart. Smoke stacks will extend up through the roof of the shed addition approximately 3'.
6. Currently there is a 6' high wood privacy fence along a portion of the property line between the subject property and the Taco Bell property to the west.
7. Currently there is a brick extension from the main west brick wall, which measures approximately 5' square, and houses a gas water heater.
8. At a point approximately two-thirds down the length of the west façade, a section of wood privacy fencing runs from the west wall to the wood privacy fence on the property line. Also at this point, the grade between the subject property and the Taco Bell Property to the west drops approximately 30".
9. This existing fence will screen the view of the smokers from the residences along Church Street.
10. The applicant is proposing to add a section of wood privacy fence between the west wall and the existing wood privacy fence at the property line to shield the shed addition the Government Street view shed.

Staff recommends approval of Request 1 with the following conditions:

1. The shed addition be sheathed with framed lattice panels to conceal the ovens from Government Street view. However, Staff also suggests that the Board consider other screening alternatives.

### **Work Request 2 – Relocate Existing Saucy Q Bar-B-Q sign to New Location**

#### **A. Mounting and Placement:**

1. The proposed building signage is to be mounted in the main gable facing Government Street.

#### **B. Design:**

1. The proposed design for the building signage is open face neon.

#### **C. Size:**

1. The Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mobile, Section 64-008, as amended, states the following:  
**4.3 Authority.** The Review Board shall have the authority to adopt such rules and regulations consistent with law to carry out the duties under this Chapter. In exercising this authority, the Review Board shall consider:
  - (a) The location of the signs (site plan), including size, mounting, placement, height, materials and illumination;
  - (b) The impact of the sign in relation to the building;
  - (c) The overall relationship of the sign to the district in which it is located or to be located.

#### **D. Materials:**

1. The Guidelines state that "Wood, metal, stucco, stone or brick is allowed. Plastic, vinyl or similar materials are prohibited. Neon, resin to give the appearance of wood, and fabric may be used as appropriate."
2. The signage material proposed for building signage is open channel aluminum.

#### **E. Lighting:**

1. Lighting for the building signage is red neon.

Staff recommends that the Board consider whether the existing open-channel neon Saucy Q Bar-B-Q sign is appropriate signage for the new restaurant location along Government Street.

### **PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

There was no one present to speak in favor of or in opposition to the application. Staff had no comments from the public or City departments to enter into the record.

### **BOARD DISCUSSION**

The Board asked for clarification on the location of existing fencing. Staff reported that a fence belonging to Taco Bell went for a distance on the property line. A short fence was present at the rear of the Saucy Q building which joined the Taco Bell fence. The result is that the area in which the smokers will be placed is hidden from view from Church Street but not Government Street. The Board also questioned staff about the need for the area in which the smokers are placed to be open for ventilation. Staff responded in the affirmative. The size of the sign was reported by staff to be 20' x 15' 4".

### **FINDING OF FACT**

Installation of smokers:

Tilmon Brown found the facts in the staff report. The motion was seconded by Harris Oswalt and approved.

Installation of sign:

Tilmon Brown found the facts in the staff report. The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

### **DECISION ON THE APPLICATION**

Smoker request:

David Tharp moved that the installation of smokers as proposed will impair the adjacent historic district. The motion was seconded by Tilmon Brown and approved.

David Tharp moved to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness conditioned upon an 8 ft. high shadow box fence be installed from the point where the existing fence stops north to enclose the smokers. The motion was seconded by Tilmon Brown and approved.

Sign request:

Tilmon Brown moved that the sign as proposed will impair the adjacent historic district. The motion was seconded by David Tharp and approved.

Tilmon Brown moved to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness conditional upon the hardware and conduit being concealed, the raceway painted to match the brick and to stack the words with Saucy Q appearing on the first line and Bar B Q on the second line. The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

Certificate of Appropriateness Expiration Date: 10/12/05.



**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS  
CERTIFIED RECORD**

098-03/04-CA            69 N. Monterey Street  
**Applicant:**            Albert Reynolds  
**Historic District:**    Old Dauphin Way  
**Zoning:**                R-1  
**Additional Permits Required:** Building  
**Nature of Project:**

Repair hurricane damage to roof while adding a rear dormer.

Due to the emergency nature of this request, there are no staff comments.

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

Albert Reynolds appeared before the Board to answer any questions regarding his application. There was no one else to speak in favor of or in opposition to the request. Staff had no comments from the public or City departments to read into the record.

**BOARD DISCUSSION**

The Board discussed continuing the eave line on the south elevation to achieve a more traditional dormer appearance.

**FINDING OF FACT**

David Tharp moved to find the following facts:

1. The house is in the Old Dauphin Way.
2. The house is a contributing structure in the district.
3. This type of construction is typical of the neighborhood.
4. The proposed construction will match the existing in material, scale and massing.
5. The area is not visible.
6. The existing eave will be maintained.

The motion was seconded by Douglas Kearley and approved.

**DECISION ON THE APPLICATION**

David Tharp moved that the dormer will not impair the historic building or the adjacent district and that a Certificate of Appropriateness be issued. The motion was seconded by Harris Oswald and approved.

Certificate of Appropriateness Expiration Date: 10/12/05.