AGENDA ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

July 25, 2005 - 3:00 P.M.

Mayor's Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza 205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS

1. Applicant's Name: Doty Lowe/Joe Arrington Construction

Property Address: 263 Stocking Street

Date of Approval: 6/13/05 asc

Work Approved: repair fire damage at rear of house. New wood to match

existing in dimension and profile; paint new materials to

match existing.

2. Applicant's Name: Jamie and Sydney Betbeze Property Address: 304 North Claiborne Street

Date of Approval: 6/14/05 weh

Work Approved: Repaint house in existing color scheme. Repair/replace

rotten wood with materials matching existing in profile

and dimension

3. Applicant's Name: Tuan Titlestad

Property Address: 1506 Old Shell Road

Date of Approval: 6/14/05 weh

Work Approved: Repaint house in following Sherwin Williams colors:

Body – Birdseye Maple Trim – Weathered Shingle Accent – Roycroft Vellum

Replace rotten wood as necessary with materials matching existing in profile and dimension.

4. Applicant's Name: Rob Wallace

Property Address: 1562 Blair Avenue
Date of Approval: 6/14/05 weh

Work Approved: Install intermediate piers under porch. Repair rotten

wood on front porch with materials matching existing in

profile and dimension.

5. Applicant's Name: Forrest Raley and Penny Pickering

Property Address: 1556 Blair Avenue Date of Approval: 6/14/05 weh

Work Approved: Construct low wood deck at rear of house as per

submitted plans. Railing to match front porch of

residence. Wood left natural to weather.

6. Applicant's Name: Historic Mobile Preservation Society

Property Address: 1115 Palmetto Street

Date of Approval: 6/15/05 weh

Work Approved: Re-roof Cox-Deasy House with 5 v-crimp metal roofing,

silver in color.

7. Applicant's Name: Ralph Reynolds Roofing

Property Address: 559 Church Street Date of Approval: 6/16/05 weh

Work Approved: Repair roof with materials matching existing in profile,

dimension and color.

8. Applicant's Name: Thomas Home Repair and Construction

Property Address: 1400 Church Street

Date of Approval: 6/16/05 asc

Work Approved: Re-roof building with 3 tab fiberglass shingles, gray in

color.

9. Applicant's Name: Chateau Oaks Apartments Property Address: 1621 Springhill Avenue

Date of Approval: 6/16/05 weh

Work Approved: Re-roof building with 3 tab fiberglass shingles, gray in

color.

10. Applicant's Name: Lafayette Plaza Hotel
Property Address: 301 Government Street

Date of Approval: 6/16/05 weh

Work Approved: repair building to match existing in materials, profile and

dimension. Paint the building in the following Sherwin Williams Colors: lower 3 story section to be painted Interactive Cream, SW6113; paint the tower Biscuit SW

6112; paint trim off-white.

11. Applicant's Name: Michael J. Brown

Property Address: 351 McDonald Avenue

Date of Approval: 6/17/05 weh

Work Approved: replace roof with dimensional asphalt shingles, charcoal

in color.

12. Applicant's Name: Bill Majure

Property Address: 1107 Savannah Street

Date of Approval: 6/17/05 jdb

Work Approved: Repair rotten wood matching the existing in materials,

profile and dimension. Paint in the existing color

scheme.

13. Applicant's Name: Krista Reynolds

Property Address: 1203 New St. Francis Street

Date of Approval: 6/20/05 weh

Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in

profile, material and dimension. Repaint house in the

existing color scheme.

14. Applicant's Name: Stauter Construction Company

Property Address: 251 Marine Street Date of Approval: 6/21/05 jdb

Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in

material, profile and dimension.

15. Applicant's Name: Building and Maintenance Company

Property Address: 221 S. Dearborn Street

Date of Approval: 6/21/05 kfm

Work Approved: Re-paint porch in existing gray color scheme.

16. Applicant's Name: Richard Williams
Property Address: 1259 Selma Street
Date of Approval: 6/21/05 jdb

Work Approved: Re-roof house with shingles to match existing in profile,

dimension and color.

17. Applicant's Name: Ralph Reynolds Roofing Property Address: 508 Dauphin Street

Date of Approval: 6/22/05 jdb

Work Approved: Replace built-up flat roof.

18. Applicant's Name: Sean McFadden
Property Address: 1564 Eslava Street
Date of Approval: 6/23/05 jdb

Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in

material, profile and dimension.

19. Applicant's Name: Pete's Home Improvement and Foundations

Property Address: 202 South Catherine

Date of Approval: 6/23/05 jss

Work Approved: Repair foundation as necessary. Repairs are not visible

from the exterior.

20. Applicant's Name: Ted Pitsios

Property Address: 258 North Claiborne Street

Date of Approval: 6/23/05 jdb

Work Approved: Roof building with timberline shingles, slate blend in

color. Paint stucco body light yellow as per sample, trim

white.

21. Applicant's Name: Roger Wettlaufer Property Address: 300 Rapier Avenue

Date of Approval: 6/24/05 jss

Work Approved: Jack house, place concrete pilings under original brick

footings.

22. Applicant's Name: Amanda Wells
Property Address: 200 Dexter Avenue

Date of Approval: 7/12/05 weh

Work Approved: Remove existing deck and rear door. Install new deck,

door and rain hood. Enclose open porch at second floor with lattice and wood window to match existing, all as

per submitted plans.

23. Applicant's Name: Kenneth Blackwell Enterprises, LLC

Property Address: 208 State Street Date of Approval: 6/27/05 weh

Work Approved: Repair roof with materials to match existing in material,

profile, color and dimension.

24. Applicant's Name: Warren and Jaqueline Carmichael

Property Address: 256 South Cedar Street

Date of Approval: 6/27/05 weh

Work Approved: Pour footings for patio and level slab using river rock as

per submitted sample.

25. Applicant's Name: John and Deana Howell Property Address: 55 North Monterey Street

Date of Approval: 6/27/05 jdb

Work Approved: Repaint house to match existing color scheme.

26. Applicant's Name: Paul Morris

Property Address: 114 South Ann Street

Date of Approval: 6/28/05 asc

Work Approved: Repaint house in the following color scheme:

Trim – White

Body – DD152 Macaroni (pale yellow)

27. Applicant's Name: Dixie M Carlson and/or Alver A. Carlson

Property Address: 1653 Dauphin Street

Date of Approval: 6/29/05 asc

Work Approved: This COA replaces COA dated 6/29/04

Replace deteriorated siding with materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Repair/replace porch flooring with materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Repair windows with materials matching in profile and dimension. Repair sills as necessary. Repair and/or replace handrails and columns with materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Prep house for painting. Colors to be submitted at a later date.

28. Applicant's Name: Cotton Capers

Property Address: 1302 Dauphin Street

Date of Approval: 6/29/05 asc

Work Approved: Repaint building with Sherwin Williams, Nomadic

Desert (light tan). Repaint logos to match existing.

29. Applicant's Name: Kenneth and Barbara Merrill

Property Address: 1750 Hunter Avenue

Date of Approval: 6/30/05 weh

Work Approved: Extend existing pre-approved and constructed storage

shed approximately 5' in rear over existing slab as per submitted plans. Materials to match existing in profile

and dimension.

30. Applicant's Name: Meaher Homes

Property Address: 66 North Monterey Street

Date of Approval: 6/30/05 weh

Work Approved: Re-roof residence with architectural Timberline shingles,

charcoal in color.

31. Applicant's Name: Mary Toombs Property Address: 59 LeMoyne Place

Date of Approval: 7/1/05 weh

Work Approved: Paint house in the following colors:

Body – Benjamin Moore Carolina Gull Trim – Benjamin Moore Gray Lake

32. Applicant's Name: Susan Goff/Keith New Property Address: 304 Congress Street

Date of Approval: 7/5/05 asc

Work Approved: Repair foundation as necessary with materials matching

existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in

existing color scheme.

33. Applicant's Name: Jean Lankford Property Address: 403 Congress Street

Date of Approval: 7/5/05 asc

Work Approved: repair brick as necessary to match existing. Sand and

paint trim antique white. Reinstall 6' wood fence

following damage by Hurricane Ivan.

34. Applicant's Name: Cameron Pfeiffer Property Address: 204 Michigan Avenue

Date of Approval: 7/6/05 weh

Work Approved: Replace roof with 3 tab shingles, charcoal in color.

Install flashing around roof line. Replace rotten soffit as necessary with materials matching existing in profile and

dimension. Repaint to match existing. Repoint

chimneys and replace existing flashing with new copper

flashing.

35. Applicant's Name: Cingular Wireless

Property Address: 1500 Government Street

Date of Approval: 7/7/05 weh

Work Approved: Install signage totaling 45 sf as per submitted plans.

C. OLD BUSINESS:

1. **059-04/05-CA** 109 LeVert Avenue

Applicant: Mr. & Mrs. Lyle Hutchison, Owners, Lucy Barr

Designs, Owner Representative

Nature of Request: Enlarge garage to accommodate two cars and add

second floor, all as per submitted plans.

D. NEW BUSINESS:

1. 071-04/05-CA 207 Rapier Avenue

Applicant: Joe Basenberg

Nature of Request: Install Country Manor Shakes (steel shingles), slate

gray in color, on roof as per submitted sample.

2. 072/04-05/CA 306 Marine Street Applicant: Hubert H. Stokes

Nature of Request: Demolish structure damaged by fire.

3. 073/04-05/CA 1119 Church Street

Applicant: S. Adam Davis, Owner/Dennis Carlisle, Architect Nature of Request: Additions & remodel residence to include 1 ½ story

addition in rear, 1 story addition in rear, add rear deck, reconfigure front porch, remove clipped gable, and replace inappropriate windows in gable

with compatible windows.

E. ADJOURN

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

071-04/05-CA 207 Rapier Avenue **Applicant:** Joe Basenberg

Received: 7/12/05 Meeting Date (s):

Submission Date + 45 Days: 9/23/05 1) 7/25/05 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden Historic District

Classification: Contributing

Zoning: R-1, Single family residential

Additional Permits Required: (1) Roofing

Nature of Project: Install new roofing material on existing historic residence as per

submitted sample.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

SectionsTopicDescription of Work3RoofsInstall new metal roofing

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:...Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district...

STAFF REPORT

- A. The Guidelines state that "A roof is one of the most dominant features of a building. Original historic roof forms, as well as the original pitch of the roof, should be maintained. Materials should be appropriate to the form and pitch and color."
 - 1. The main structure is a two story frame Victorian structure.
 - 2. The material requested for use is a patterned steel shingle which measures 12 ½" by 11 ¾".
 - 3. The pattern replicates wood shakes.
 - 4. Historically, metal roofs were installed around the turn of the 20th century.
 - 5. Metal roofs varied in design from standing seam to 5-v crimp to tin shingle.
 - 6. This material has not been previously requested or approved for use in Mobile's Historic Districts.
 - 7. The Board did approve a similar material for use at 1064 Palmetto Street in November 2004.

Staff recommends that the Board determine the appropriateness of the material for this application.

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

060-04/05-CA 109 Levert Avenue

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Lyle Hutchison

Received: 5/23/05, 7/12/05 Meeting Dates:

Submission Date + 45 Days: 9/23/05 1) 6/13/05 2)7/25/05

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

<u>Historic District:</u> Ashland Place Historic District

Classification: Contributing

Zoning: R-1, Single Family Residential **Nature of Project:** Alter garage as per submitted plans.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

SectionsTopicDescription of Work3Accessory StructuresAlter existing garage

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change "...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district."

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application, and in Staff's judgment:

A. Garage Alterations:

The proposed construction is not in compliance with Section 3 of the Design Review Guidelines.

- 1. The existing garage is a contributing historic structure constructed at the same time as the 1929 residence.
- 2. The Ashland Place neighborhood was developed as an early streetcar suburb along the Springhill Avenue trolley line.
- 3. Automobiles were an important element in the layout of the neighborhood, and many of the houses were constructed with free-standing garages and carriage houses.
- 4. The National Register Nomination lists 24 contributing outbuildings in the Ashland Place Historic District.
- 5. The existing garage retains its original design, with the exception of decorative concrete block infill at the garage door opening.
- 6. The proposed design calls for extending the garage opening 7' forward to allow for larger vehicles.
- 7. The proposed design calls for the addition of a second story for storage and later playroom.
- 8. The second floor addition is delineated from the first floor by a wide board.
- 9. Above the wide board the second floor siding narrows in width.

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

072-04/05 – CA Applicant:306 Marine Street
Hubert H. Stokes

Received: 7/1/05 Meeting Date (s):

Submission Date + 45 Days: 8/16/05 1) 7/25/05 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

<u>Historic District:</u> Oakleigh Garden Historic District

Classification: Contributing

Zoning: R-1, Single Family Residential

Nature of the Project: Demolish existing historic residential structure severely damaged by fire. Landscape

vacant lot once structure is removed.

STAFF REPORT

Section 10 of the Preservation Ordinance prohibits the demolition or relocation of "any property within a historic district unless the Board finds that the removal or relocation of such buildings will not be detrimental to the historical and architectural character of the district..." In making this determination, the Board must examine a number of factors set out in the ordinance, each of which is discussed below:

A. Historic or Architectural Significance

- 1. The Oakleigh Garden Historic District was created in 1972.
- 2. 306 Marine Street is a one story shotgun structure.
- 3. 306 Marine Street is a contributing structure within the Oakleigh Garden Historic District.
- 4. While listed as contributing, the structure has had significant incompatible alterations over time.

B. Importance to the Integrity of the District

- 1. Mobile's Oakleigh Garden District neighborhood is a large, late 19th-century/early 20th-century suburban neighborhood...The majority of the development in this district...dates from the 1870s and 1880s through World War I. Within this large grouping are examples of various Victorian styles as well as large numbers of bungalows...Between 1830 and World War II, the district developed as a solidly middle-class residential neighborhood. The residential character is evident in the size and massing of building form that represents adaptations to local climate considerations. In response to these influences, a group of buildings evolved that maintain a compactness of size, massing and consistent program while responding to a variety of stylistic influences...
- 2. The shotgun is the most prolific and prototypical style in the district.

C. Ability to Reproduce Historic Structures

- 1. The type and quality of the materials used in the construction of 306 Marine Street are no longer readily available.
- 2. The structure dates from the first quarter of the 20th century, before the introduction of nominal dimension lumber. Components include old growth pine structural members and siding, historic

- windows, doors and interior decoration, etc. Replacement material would have to be garnered from salvage yards or specially milled.
- 3. In the event that reconstruction was attempted, the cost to reproduce 306 Marine Street would be prohibitively expensive.

D. Ensemble of Historic Buildings Creating a Neighborhood

- 1. The subject property is one of numerous shotgun residences in the district.
- 2. Removal of this residence would not erode the Oakleigh Garden Historic District.

E. Proposed Redevelopment Plans for the Site

1. The application states that the site will be cleared of building debris and grassed.

F. Effect of Proposed Project on the Oakleigh Garden Historic District.

- 1. The removal of 306 Marine Street would not degrade the streetscape along this relatively intact section of Marine Street.
- 2. The removal of 306 Marine Street would not impair the architectural, cultural, historical, social, aesthetic and environmental character of the Oakleigh Garden Historic District.

G. Content of Application

- 1. Property information:
 - a. 306 Marine Street was acquired by the applicant in 1985 for \$15,000.
 - b. The applicant states that the property was in good condition prior to the fire.
 - c. The property is currently unoccupied.

2. Alternatives Considered

a. The applicant states that no alternatives have been considered to retain the residence.

3. Sale of Property by Current Owner

- a. Information presented in the application notes that 306 Marine Street has been listed for sale for \$30,000.
- b. Applicant states that there have been 2 offers made on the property.

4. Financial Proof

a. No financial proof was included with the application.

H. Other:

1. Staff has inspected the property and determined that reconstruction is not economically feasible.

Based on the above facts, Staff recommends approval of the request to demolish.

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

O73 -04/05-CA Applicant:

S. Adam Davis

Received: 7/12/05 Meeting Dates:

Submission Date + 45 Days: 9/23/05 1) 7/25/05 2)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

<u>Historic District:</u> Oakleigh Garden Historic District

Classification: Contributing

Zoning: R-1, Single Family Residential

Nature of Project: Remodel existing rear addition residence to create 1 ½ story living

space in rear; Construct 1 story addition in rear; add rear deck; reconfigure front porch; remove clipped gable; and replace inappropriate windows in gable with compatible windows.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

SectionsTopicDescription of Work3AdditionsAlter existing elevations

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change "...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district."

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application, and in Staff's judgment:

The proposed construction is in compliance with Section 3 of the Design Review Guidelines.

- A. The main structure is a one story wood frame vernacular residence, ca. 1900 with an "L"-shaped front porch.
- B. The 1904 Sanborn Map suggests the structure was originally a high Victorian with a bay and possibly a turret over the front door.
- C. The current appearance reflects a major change to a bungalow with a large end gable roof, possibly due to a fire.
- D. The property is a contributing structure within the Oakleigh Garden Historic District.
- E. Due to its siting in the middle of the block, only the front elevation is visible from public view.

- F. Alterations to the north elevation:
 - 1. Remodeling of front porch to include: removal of brick and ironwork columns; replace with wood box columns and new wood balustrade.
 - a. the existing front porch is not the original porch design as denoted by the 1904 Sanborn Map
 - b. the existing porch presumably dates from the 1950s and detracts from the historic character of the residence; for this reason, the alteration is acceptable under Secretary of the Interior's Standard number 2
 - c. the proposed porch is more in keeping with the bungalow style roof
 - 2. Remove clipped gable at front and replace with continuous end gable:
 - a. the clipped gable to be removed is not original to the house
 - b. clipped gables are not typically associated with the bungalow style
 - 3. Install new compatible triple window in end gable:
 - a. due to the change in use from attic space to living space, current building code requires an operable window in sleeping areas
 - b. the existing silver aluminum windows are not original to the gable
 - c. the new triple wood window is more compatible to the bungalow style
- G. Alterations to the south elevation:
 - 1. Remove shed roof from rear addition and continue gable roof over addition:
 - a. the existing rear addition is sheathed in aluminum siding and detracts from the architectural integrity of the residence
 - b. the alteration to the existing rear addition continues the design elements and roof line of the main residence
 - c. this change does not impair the essential form or integrity of the historic property as stated in the Secretary of the Interior's Standard number 10
 - 2. Construct addition measuring 15'-8" x 15'-6"
 - a. the proposed addition copies design elements from the main residence such as windows, siding, eave, soffit and cornice details
 - b. an existing corner board distinguishes the main residence from both existing and proposed additions, as stated in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards number 9.
 - 3. Add deck at rear of residence:
 - a. a rear deck is a modern interpretation of a traditional porch form
 - b. therefore, allowing the deck as designed does not impair the essential form and integrity of the historic property
- H. Facts F-G are in compliance with numbers 2, 9 and 10 of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, as follows:
 - 1. Number 2 –

The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alterations of the features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

2. Number 9 –

New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

3. Number 10 –

New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

Based on the above facts, Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.