

**AGENDA**  
**ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD**

June 14, 2004– 3:00 P.M.

Mayor's Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza  
205 Government Street

**A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair**

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

**B. MID MONTH APPROVALS**

1. Applicant's Name: Tony Woods  
Property Address: 908 Palmetto  
Date of Approval: 5/11/04 weh  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with materials matching existing in profile and dimension.  
Paint house in the following color scheme:  
Body: Fresh Lemonade, Richards (Gleem)  
Trim: White (Richards)
2. Applicant's Name: McDade Contracting  
Property Address: 110 S. Claiborne  
Date of Approval: 5/12/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repaint in the following Benjamin Moore color scheme to match existing:  
Body: BM 8C58 Chippendale Rose Tone  
Trim White  
Shutters: Bellingrath Green  
Ironwork: Black
3. Applicant's Name: Ralph Reynolds Roofing  
Property Address: 9 Semmes Avenue  
Date of Approval: 5/12/04 weh  
Work Approved: Re-roof with Stratford shingles, Graystone Blend in color.
4. Applicant's Name: Warren Riley  
Property Address: 1719 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 5/7/04 jdb  
Work Approved: Install black fiberglass 20 year 3 tab shingles to match existing.
5. Applicant's Name: Phillip Holley  
Property Address: 1214 Selma Street  
Date of Approval: 5/17/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in material, profile and dimension. Paint new materials to match existing color scheme.

6. Applicant's Name: Danny McAleer  
Property Address: 1557 Bruister St.  
Date of Approval: 5/17/04 asc  
Work Approved: Paint exterior in the following Sherwin-Williams colors:  
Body- Morris Room Gray  
Trim- Classical White  
Door-Roycroft Copper Red  
Porch deck-battleship gray  
*Unpainted brick to remain unpainted.*  
Install approximately 50 ft. 6 ft. dog eared privacy fencing on east side to match existing fencing.
7. Applicant's Name: H. E. Rummel  
Property Address: 1002 Charleston  
Date of Approval: 5/17/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in the existing color scheme.
8. Applicant's Name: H. E. Rummel  
Property Address: 1004 Charleston  
Date of Approval: 5/17/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in the existing color scheme.
9. Applicant's Name: John Gwin  
Property Address: 224 S. Lawrence Street  
Date of Approval: 5/19/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood with new materials as necessary to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in existing color scheme: Body: Dauphin Gray, Trim: White
10. Applicant's Name: Ashton Brock  
Property Address: 1708 Laurel Street  
Date of Approval: 5/19/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repair to rotten wood with new wood to match existing in dimension and profile;  
Paint exterior in the existing color scheme: body-gray; trim-white; porch deck-gray.
11. Applicant's Name: Vance McCown Construction, Inc.  
Property Address: 1507 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 5/20/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repaint building in the existing color scheme.

12. Applicant's Name: Janet Rich Pittman  
Property Address: 210 South Georgia Avenue  
Date of Approval: 5/20/04 weh  
Work Approved: Install storm windows as per submitted design.  
Touch up paint in existing color scheme.
13. Applicant's Name: Ellen Lizotte  
Property Address: 310 Charles Street  
Date of Approval: 5/20/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repairs to roof with materials to match existing. Minor wood repair with new wood to match existing in dimension and profile; paint new wood in existing color scheme.
14. Applicant's Name: Dianne Caylor  
Property Address: 1308 Brown Street  
Date of Approval: 5/20/04 weh  
Work Approved: Install concrete driveway as per submitted site plan. Drive to be placed in location of existing dirt drive, measuring 52' long by 9 ½' wide.
15. Applicant's Name: Vance McCown Construction, Inc.  
Property Address: 1507 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 5/20/04 weh  
Work Approved: Repaint building in the existing color scheme.
16. Applicant's Name: MDM LLC  
Property Address: 126 Government Street  
Date of Approval: 5/24/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood with new materials as necessary on windows to match existing in profile and dimension. Caulk windows and repaint in existing color scheme.
17. Applicant's Name: Harold Allen  
Property Address: 203 Charles Street  
Date of Approval: 5/24/04 weh  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood with new materials as necessary match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint in existing color scheme.
18. Applicant's Name: Jean Buckner  
Property Address: 1221 Elmira Street  
Date of Approval: 5/24/04 asc  
Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary on foundation with new materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Rebuild brick piers using existing materials. Match piers to existing.

19. Applicant's Name: D B Technon Services  
Property Address: 505 Church Street  
Date of Approval: 5/24/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repaint house. (Paint colors to be submitted prior to start)
20. Applicant's Name: Mr. and Mrs. Jerry Bramlett/Lipford Construction  
Property Address: 151 Levert Avenue  
Date of Approval: 5/25/04 asc  
Work Approved: Repair/replace rotten wood on main house with new wood to match existing in profile and dimension; paint new materials in existing color scheme.  
Replace metal door on garage with new fiberglass door to match existing in design.
21. Applicant's Name: Tim Wells  
Property Address: 1751 Dauphin Street  
Date of Approval: 5/26/04 weh  
Work Approved: Construct 6' shadowbox fence along alley as required by the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Install landscape timbers and pea gravel to create permeable parking surface at rear of property as per submitted plan. As per a requirement of BZA.
22. Applicant's Name: Jason C. and Kim G. Smith  
Property Address: 1558 Luling Street  
Date of Approval: 5/25/04 jdb  
Work Approved: Install 6' wood dog-eared privacy fence as per submitted plans.
23. Applicant's Name: Margaret Rushing  
Property Address: 1106 Selma Street  
Date of Approval: 5/27/04 asc  
Work Approved: Install 3' picket fence in front yard per submitted plan. Pickets to be gothic or pointed, fence to be painted dark green.

**C. NEW BUSINESS:**

1. **065-03/04-CA:** 101 Herndon Avenue  
Applicant: Mark Ramey  
Nature of Request: Remove existing concrete front steps & slab porch and construct new wood porch with columns, porch rail & wood steps as per submitted plan.
2. **066-03/04-CA:** 251 Chatham Street  
Applicant: Bill and Leslie Cutts  
Nature of Request: Rebuild front porch to match original using old photographs as a guide. Add rear porch. Remove north entrance door and install window to match existing. Repaint color to be selected by owner.

3. **067-03/04-CA:** 501 Monroe Street  
Applicant: Dora Finley  
Nature of Request: Construct 8' stucco wall to run 30' along southwest property line, continuing the line of an existing wall supporting a pool enclosure at adjacent property. Add black louvered shutters to windows. Add 4 white fluted square 10" columns to support front balcony, all as per submitted plans.
4. **068-03/04-CA:** 1257 Spring Hill Avenue  
Applicant: Kwan Bui  
Nature of Request: Install signage as per submitted plans.
5. **069-03/04-CA:** 251 Dauphin Street  
Applicant: David Rasp/Hero's Sports Bar  
Nature of Request: Stain wood deck with penetrating stain as per submitted sample.
6. **070-03/04-CA:** 258 Conti Street  
Applicant: Jerry Arnold  
Nature of Request: Construct rear addition as per submitted plans.

**D. OTHER BUSINESS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

1. Report from the Rules and Regulations Committee
2. Discussion of the proposed GSA Federal Courthouse
3. Plans for NAPC Conference in Indianapolis

**E. MOTION TO ADJOURN**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS**

065-03/04 – CA            101 Herndon Avenue  
**Applicant:**                Mark Ramey  
**Received:**                 5/12/04                     **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 6/26/04            1) 6/14/04    2)                            3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:**        Old Dauphin Street Historic District  
**Classification:**         Contributing  
**Zoning:**                    R-1, Single Family Residential  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Building  
**Nature of Project:**      Construct front porch measuring 28’ x 12’ as per submitted plans.

Porch to be three bay across main façade, with four 12” box columns supporting a balustraded roof deck, as per submitted plans.

**Additional Information:**

The second generation porch comprised of a concrete slab at grade, concrete steps, and a shed roof supported by aluminum supports was removed prior to beginning construction of the proposed porch. Urban Development issued a Stop Work Order and required the applicant to submit an Application for Proposed Work. At the time of the issuance of the SWO, the porch deck, columns, and roof deck had been constructed. The applicant is requesting to continue work utilizing plans prepared by MHDC staff, constructing a porch with stock MHDC elements.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**  
*Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts*

<b><u>Sections</u></b>	<b><u>Topic</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Work</u></b>
3	Porches	Construct front porch

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:… Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

**STAFF REPORT**

- A. The Guidelines state that “The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and repaired to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts, columns, proportions and decorative details.
  - 1. The main structure is a two story frame vernacular late-Victorian residence.
  - 2. The original porch, prior to its removal, was a one bay stoop with shed roof at the front door.
  - 3. The replacement porch was slab at grade with concrete steps leading up to the front door, with a shed roof supported by aluminum porch columns.
  
- B. The Guidelines state that “The form and shape of the porch and its roof should maintain their historic appearance. Materials should blend with the style of the building.”
  - 1. The proposed porch is 4 bays wide and spans the width of the front of the residence.

2. A set of wood steps is proposed to be located at the north side of the porch and serve two entrances to the foyer.
  3. 12” square wood box columns will support a balustraded deck. Stock box column design provided by MHDC staff.
- C. The Guidelines state that “The balustrade of the stairs should match the design and materials of the porch.”
1. Proposed porch balustrade and step rail is MHDC stock design #1, utilizing square pickets, and top and bottom rail.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS**

066-03/04 – CA            250 Chatham Street  
**Applicant:**                Bill and Leslie Cutts  
**Received:**                 5/12/04                    **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 6/26/04            1) 6/14/04    2)                            3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:**        Oakleigh Garden Historic District  
**Classification:**         Contributing  
**Zoning:**                    R-1, Single Family Residential  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Building  
**Nature of Project:**        Reconstruct front porch using historic photographs, per submitted plans.

Porch to be three bay across main façade, with pairs of wood columns supporting a Italianate arches with brackets, all under a balustraded roof deck, as per submitted plans.

**Additional Information:**  
Twelve Oaks, a ca. 1867-68 Italianate Villa, was moved from the center of the block to the northwest corner of Chatham and Palmetto, facing Washington Square, in 1938. At that time, the original porch was removed and a terrace-type entrance was constructed.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**  
*Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts*

<b><u>Sections</u></b>	<b><u>Topic</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Work</u></b>
3	Porches	Construct front porch

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:… Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

**STAFF REPORT**

- A. The Guidelines state that “The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and repaired to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts, columns, proportions and decorative details.
  - 1. The main structure is a two story frame Italianate residence.
  - 2. The original porch, prior to its removal, was a full-length three bay wood porch supporting a second level balustraded deck.
  - 3. The current porch is a raised slab.
  
- B. The Guidelines state that “The form and shape of the porch and its roof should maintain their historic appearance. Materials should blend with the style of the building.”
  - 1. The proposed porch is 3 bays wide and spans the width of the front of the residence.
  - 2. The proposed porch replicates the original porch from historic photographs.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.



**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS**

067-03/04 – CA            501 Monroe Street  
Applicant:                Dora Finley  
Received:                5/12/04                    Meeting Date (s):  
Submission Date + 45 Days: 6/26/04            1) 6/14/04            2)                            3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

Historic District:        Church Street East Historic District  
Classification:        Non – Contributing (New Construction)  
Zoning:                    R-1, Single Family Residential  
Additional Permits Required: (1) Building  
Nature of Project:     Make alterations to existing cantilevered balcony as per submitted plans. Install fixed decorative shutters as per submitted design. Install pilasters and pediment over rear door as per submitted plans. Continue stucco-covered masonry wall as per submitted design.

Porch to be three bay across main façade, with four 8” square fluted box columns supporting a balustraded roof deck, as per submitted plans. Columns are moulded aluminum.

Proposed shutters to be moulded black louvered fiberglass, measuring 71” x 18”.

Proposed rear door surround consists of a pair of fluted pilasters with a Colonial Revival-style header. Material is polypropylene copolymer.

Continue stucco-covered masonry wall from property facing Lawrence Street. 8’ wall was approved as part of pool enclosure.

**Additional Information:**

The subject structure is not considered historic. However, any proposed alterations to contemporary structures in any district will have an impact on the adjacent historic buildings and the Historic District. Therefore, the design and placement of new architectural features, and the types of materials used, should be evaluated in relation to their impact on the District.

The existing residence was under construction in 1979 when the Church Street East Historic District was being surveyed. The existing cantilevered balcony is approximately 4’-5’ deep, with slender black aluminum balustrade.

Wood windows are single one-over-one sash.

Currently there is a deteriorated awning over the rear door.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**

*Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts*

<u>Sections</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Description of Work</u>
3	Porches	Alter front porch Add pediment over rear entry
3	Blinds, Shutters & Awnings	Install decorative shutters
3	Fences, Walls & Gates	Construct 8’ stucco-covered wall

## **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:… Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

## **STAFF REPORT**

### **Work Item 1, Front Porch Alteration**

- A. The Guidelines state that “The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and repaired to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts, columns, proportions and decorative details.”
1. The main structure is a two story minimal traditional brick veneer residence.
  2. The existing cantilevered balcony has aluminum railing and is centered over the front door and covers 3 bays of the 5 bay façade.
  3. The proposed changes include the addition of 4 aluminum fluted columns, 8” square.

### **Work Item 2, Addition of Pediment over Rear Entry**

- B. The Guidelines state that “The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and repaired to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts, columns, proportions and decorative details.”
1. The main structure is a two story minimal traditional brick veneer residence.
  2. Currently there is a deteriorated fabric awning covering the rear entry door.
  3. The proposed changes include the addition of 2 fluted pilasters 8” wide, that support a horizontal beam with faux keystone. Material is polypropylene copolymer.

### **Work Item 3, Addition of Decorative Shutters**

- C. The Guidelines state that “Operable units, hung with appropriate hinges are encouraged. Where blinds and shutters must be fixed, they should be hung in the window casing in a manner to replicate those that are operable. Decorative shutters are appropriate on some 20<sup>th</sup> century buildings. Evidence must be presented of their original use when requested.”
1. Currently there are no shutters on the residence.
  2. The proposed shutters are only for decorative purposes.

### **Work Item 4, Continuation of Stucco-Covered Masonry Wall**

- D. The Guidelines state that Fences “should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement, and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”
1. The main structure is a two story brick veneer residence.
  2. The proposed stucco-covered masonry wall will be a continuation of the one constructed as part of a pool enclosure.
  3. Typically, the Design Guidelines limit privacy fences to 6’ in height.
  4. The Design Guidelines do allow 8’ high separations where residential property adjoins commercial property.
  5. The property adjacent to the west property line is a duplex apartment.

Staff recommends the following conditions should the Board find that the proposed changes will not materially impair the historic character of the District:

**Front Porch Alterations:**

The columns proposed for the front cantilevered balcony are out of scale with the balcony. With a shallow depth, the columns would be less than 4' from the main façade. Staff recommends eliminating the columns. Installing decorative brackets similar to mid-19<sup>th</sup> century cantilevered balconies would create a more decorative façade, and be as successful as the addition of columns.

**Rear Door Surround:**

Traditionally rear doors are considered secondary entrances. The proposed surround increases the importance of the rear door without providing a functional purpose, such as a stoop or porch. Staff recommends either simplifying the design or constructing an alternate structure.

**Shutter Installation:**

Typically, the Board requires the use of heavier, more substantial shutters. Should the Board determine that the installation of shutters in this case is appropriate, staff recommends that the applicant be required to submit a shutter constructed of an approved material.

**Stucco-Covered Masonry Wall**

Staff recommends approval of the wall as submitted. The proposed new wall section is a continuation of an existing wall constructed to support a pool enclosure. While the property to the west is a duplex, no adjacent properties are commercially zoned. Typically, the Board only approves 8' walls where residentially zoned properties adjoin commercially zoned properties.

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS**

068-03/04 – CA            1275 Spring Hill Avenue  
Applicant:                Linda La  
Received:                 5/12/04                        Meeting Date (s):  
Submission Date + 45 Days: 6/26/04                    1) 6/14/04                    2)                                    3)

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

Historic District:        Old Dauphin Way Historic District  
Classification:         Non – Contributing (New Construction)  
Zoning:                    B-3, Community Business (rezoned for current use)  
Additional Permits Required: (1) Signage  
Nature of Project:        Install signage for convenience store, package store, flower shop and deli as per submitted plans.

The three- tenant building has 108’ of linear front footage. The applicant is proposing signage for all three tenants; a convenience store/gas/deli, a flower shop, and a package store.

The proposed signage is as follows:

Convenience store/Gas/Deli:

- “Deli” 1’ high x 3’ long – 3 sf
- “1 Stop” logo – 3’ square on a diagonal – 9 sf
- “Mart” 1’ high x 4’ long – 4 sf

Flower Shop:

Springhill Avenue Elevation:

- “Springhill” – 6” high x 4’ long – 2 sf
- “Florist & Gifts” – 1’ high x 13’ long – 13 sf

Ann Street Elevation

- “Springhill” – 6” high x 4’ long – 2 sf
- “Florist & Gifts” – 1’ high x 13’ long – 13 sf

Package Store:

- “Springhill Five Points” – 6” high x 7’ long – 3.5 sf
- “Discount Package & Tobacco” – 1’ high x 21’ long – 21 sf

Gas Canopy – “1 Stop” logo – 3’ square on a diagonal – 9 sf

Monument Sign – double sided w/interchangeable sections  
 25 sf per side, or 50 sf total –

TOTAL SIGNAGE: 129.5 sf.

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**

*Sign Design Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts and Government Street  
 Zoning Ordinance for the City of Mobile*

<u>Sections</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Description of Work</u>
A	Mounting & Placement	Install Signage
B	Design	
C	Size	
4.3		
5		
D	Materials	
E	Lighting	

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:… Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

A. Mounting and Placement:

1. The proposed building signage is to be mounted on the 2 ½’ sign band below the roof.
2. The proposed canopy signage is to be mounted on the canopy valance.
  - (a) The Sign Design Guidelines state that “No portion of a sign shall extend above the cornice line at the top of the building face.”
  - (b) The 3’ square diagonal logo extends past the sign band at the top and bottom by approximately .87”
3. The proposed monument sign is to be placed at the northwest corner of the property.

B. Design:

1. The proposed design for the sign band signage is channel lettering, in a simple block font, painted red.
2. The proposed design for the logo sign is a 3’ square with a white background. Two symmetrical red diamonds placed in an up/down position are the backdrop for a green number 1 on which the letters “STOP” are applied in yellow.

C. Size:

1. The Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mobile, Section 64-008, as amended, states the following:
  - 4.3 Authority.** The Review Board shall have the authority to adopt such rules and regulations consistent with law to carry out the duties under this Chapter. In exercising this authority, the Review Board shall consider:
    - (a) The location of the signs (site plan), including size, mounting, placement, height, materials and illumination;
    - (b) The impact of the sign in relation to the building;
    - (c) The overall relationship of the sign to the district in which it is located or to be located.

**5.0 Permissible Signs in Historic Districts**

The maximum allowable sign area for all signs…shall not exceed 1.5 square feet per linear foot of the primary building wall, not to exceed 64 square feet per tenant.

2. The Guidelines state that “The total maximum allowable sign area for all signs is one and one half square feet per linear front foot of the principal building, not to exceed 64 square feet. A multi-tenant building is also limited to a maximum of 64 square feet.”
  1. The linear front footage of the building is 108 feet.
  2. The allowable signage based on the formula is 162 square feet.
  3. There are three tenants proposed for the site:

Five Points Tobacco and Liquor	– Linear Front Footage = 20 feet
	Signage Permitted = 30 sf
	Signage Proposed = 24.5 sf
First Stop Deli/Mart	Linear Front Footage = 68 feet
	Signage Permitted = 104 sf > 64 sf, so 64 sf
	Signage Proposed = 16 sf
Springhill Flowers & Gifts	Linear Front Footage = 20 feet
(Identical signage facing	Signage Permitted = 30 sf
Springhill Ave. & Ann St.)	Signage Proposed = 30 sf

4. There are two additional signs proposed for the site:

Monument Sign	Signage Permitted	= max allowable 50 sf
	Signage Proposed	= 50 square feet
Canopy Sign	3' square on a diagonal	= 9 sf

5. The proposed signage totals 129.5 square feet.

D. Materials:

1. The Guidelines state that “Wood, metal, stucco, stone or brick is allowed. Plastic, vinyl or similar materials are prohibited. Neon, resin to give the appearance of wood, and fabric may be used as appropriate.”
2. The signage material proposed for the sign band is aluminum channel, painted red.
3. The signage material for the logo signs is aluminum with an applied vinyl face.
4. The signage material for the monument sign is aluminum with an applied vinyl face.

E. Lighting:

1. Information provided by the applicant/owner states that the signage on the sign band is to be reverse channel backlit; information obtained from the sign contractor indicates the signs are to be non-illuminated, lit with flood lighting.
2. No lighting plan was provided for this source of lighting.
3. Information provided by the applicant/owner states that the signage on the fuel canopy is metal with vinyl face; information obtained from the sign contractor indicates that the sign is to be internally lit plastic.
4. The monument sign is to be lit with flood lights, which will be an integral part of the landscaping around the monument sign.

Staff recommends approval of the application with the following conditions:

1. That all sign band signage be aluminum, reverse channel backlit.
2. That the logo sign on the canopy not be internally lit plastic. It may be either metal with vinyl face, lit with floodlights, or another sign type permitted under the guidelines.
3. That the applicant be made aware of the following:
  - (a) **Section 7 of Section 64-008, Signs Exempt from Regulations Under the Ordinance**  
**7.2** Any sign inside a building, not attached to a window or door, that is not legible from a distance of more than 3' beyond the lot line of the building site or parcel on which such sign is located.  
(This section is intended to cover such signage as branding logos, neon product names, etc.)

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS

070-03/04 – CA            273 Dauphin Street  
**Applicant:**            David Rasp/Hero’s Sports Bar  
**Received:**            6/2/04                    **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:**    7/17/04            1) 6/14/04    2)                    3)

### **INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:**        Lower Dauphin Street Commercial Historic District  
**Classification:**        Contributing  
**Zoning:**                B-4, General Business  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Paint  
**Nature of Project:**     Stain wood deck with submitted sample.

At the November 18, 2003 meeting of the Architectural Review Board, approval was granted to construct a wooden deck in the city right-of-way to promote outside dining. The Board requested that the deck be either painted or stained, and requested the applicant submit color samples for Board consideration.

### **APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT** *Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts*

<b><u>Sections</u></b>	<b><u>Topic</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Work</u></b>
3	Exterior Materials & Finishes	Stain wood deck

### **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:… Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

### **STAFF REPORT**

1. There are currently no applicable guidelines for this type of structure. Therefore, the design is reviewed in terms of compatibility and the structure’s impact on the historic district.
2. At the meeting where this structure was approved, the Board requested the applicant return with color samples.
3. The applicant is requesting to stain the deck with a transparent stain by Sherwin Williams, color Mountain Ash.

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS

071-03/04 – CA            558 Conti Street  
**Applicant:**            Jerry Arnold  
**Received:**            6/2/04                    **Meeting Date (s):**  
**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 6/25/04            1) 7/17/04            2)                    3)

### INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

**Historic District:**        Lower Dauphin Street Historic District  
**Classification:**        Contributing  
**Zoning:**                R-1 Single Family Residential  
**Additional Permits Required:** (1) Building  
**Nature of Project:**     Construct a 21'-4" x 14' – 9" addition to rear as per submitted plans. New construction to be brick veneer, painted to match existing, with flush board siding under rear porch. Porch details to match those of front porch. Louvered blinds to fill 1 bay of the 3 bay rear porch, concealing structure and interior space behind. Hipped roof to match existing in pitch and shingles.

### APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

#### *Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts*

<u>Sections</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Description of Work</u>
3	Additions	Construct Family Room Addition

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:...Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

### STAFF REPORT

- A. The Guidelines state that "The appropriateness of additions shall be measured by the guidelines applicable to new construction. The addition should compliment the design and scale of the main building."
- The main structure is one story load-bearing masonry late Victorian residence, with a hipped roof.
    - The existing structure is painted brick, and has six-over-six wood windows, and decorative brick cornice frieze.
    - Currently there is a brick addition to the rear that will be incorporated into the proposed design.
    - The proposed addition is a one story brick veneer, with brick painted to match existing, decorative cornice detail to match existing, and wood frame porch with details copied from the front porch.
  - The proposed addition repeats the design of the existing residence by utilizing the following elements:
    - Brick bond, color, and decorative cornice matching that on the main residence;
    - Wood windows matching those in the main residence, and the introduction of diamond windows, a traditional historic design element;
    - Roof pitch matching that of the existing;
    - Porch elements matching that of the front porch.
  - No trees will be removed to construct the addition.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.





