## RAL REVIEW BOARD AGENDA nber 3, 2008 – 3:00 P.M. soile Government Plaza, 205 Government Street

### A. CALL TO ORDER - Chair

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

## **B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS**

- 1. Applicant's Name: Bradley Robertson
  - a. Property Address: 402 Chatham Street
  - b. Date of Approval: 11/10/08
  - c. Project: Reroof with 3-tab shingles, black.
- 2. Applicant's Name: Bill Host
  - a. Property Address: 1661 Dauphin Street
  - b. Date of Approval: 11/13/08
  - c. Project: Tear off existing roof; reroof with 30yr Timberline charcoal shingles; reflash two chimneys and five dormers; repair/replace decking as needed.
- 3. Applicant's Name: Robert Cooner
  - a. Property Address: 21 McPhillips Ave
  - b. Date of Approval: 11/17/08
  - c. Project: Reroof with GAF 30 year architectural shingles, charcoal gray.
- 4. Applicant's Name: Barbara Sims
  - d. Property Address: 154 Macy Place
  - e. Date of Approval: 11/19/08
  - f. Project: Repaint exterior in existing color scheme.
- 5. Applicant's Name: American Roofing
  - a. Property Address: 258-260 Michigan Ave
  - b. Date of Approval: 11/19/08
  - c. Project: Reroof with 25yr charcoal shingles
- 6. Applicant's Name: Pitsios Family Ltd Partnership
  - a. Property Address: 57 Bradford Ave
  - b. Date of Approval: 11/25/08
  - c. Project: Repaint per submitted, approved colors.

## C. APPLICATIONS

## 1. 174-08-CA: 109-111 S. Conception Street

a. Applicant: Holmes and Holmes Architectsb. Request: Install fiber glass porch railings

## 2. 179-08-CA: Government Plaza

a. Applicant: Susan McGallagher

eet

a. Applicant: McCormick-Brownb. Request: Sign approval

4. 181-08-CA: 56 St. Francis Street

**a.** Applicant: White-Spunner Construction

ction

**b.** Request: Sign approval

5. 182-08-CA: 1123 Church Street

a. Applicant: David Barnett

b. Request: Fence

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

174-08-CA: 109-111 S. Conception Street Applicant: Holmes and Holmes Architects

**Received:** 10/21/08

Meeting: 12/03/08; tabled from 11/19/08

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East Classification: Contributing Property

Zoning: R-1

Project: Install fiber glass railing.

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

Constructed in 1857, The Bowers-Huger house is one of three remaining double townhouses in Mobile.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

## **STAFF REPORT**

- A. According to MHDC records, the existing rear balustrade was installed in 1990. In order to alleviate maintenance concerns, the applicants seek approval for the installation of fiberglass balustrade.
- B. The Mobile Historic Guidelines, state, in pertinent part:
  - 1. "The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and repaired to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts/ columns, proportions and decorative details. The balustrade of the stairs should match the design and materials of the porch."
  - 2. õThe materials should blend with the style of the building.ö
- C. Applicant propose:
  - 1. installing fiberglass materials for the rear porch railings
    - a. 3ö rounded strongrail system
    - b. Square pickets
    - c. White finish

### STAFF ANALYSIS

The applicants intend to bring a sample of the fiber glass railing to the ARB meeting. The ARB does not typically allow modern replacement materials, such as HardiePlank, on historic buildings. Given the significance of this property, Staff believes a modern replacement material would be inappropriate as it would be difficult to replicate the proper reveal and details. Therefore, Staff recommends denial.

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

179-08-CA: 153 Government Street

**Applicant:** Goodwin, Mills and Caewood

Received: 11/17/08 Meeting: 12/03/08

Unlimited Pages and Expanded Features

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East Classification: Contributing Property

Zoning: R-1

Project: Brick selection

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

A new building is currently being constructed at this site to house the Mobile County Courthouse.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

### STAFF REPORT

- A. The applicants are seeking approval for their exterior brick for the new building.
- B. The Guidelines for New Residential and Commercial Construction state õ the goal of new construction should be to blend into the historic district, but to avoid creating a false sense of history.ö
- C. Applicants will be bringing brick samples to the meeting.

## **STAFF ANALYSIS**

As required by a previous COA, the applicants agreed to present their exterior material choices to the ARB once they were selected. Susan McGallagher appeared before the Board in an informal manner on Nov. 19, 2008. On Nov. 19, the Board approved the choice stucco, but asked the architects to reconsider the brick selected. Ms. Gallagher agreed to return to the Board after researching alternatives. (See <a href="https://www.mobilehd.org">www.mobilehd.org</a> for the full minutes of the Nov. 19 meeting). Samples of the alternatives, as well as the original choice, will be presented and discussed again on Dec. 3.

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

180-08-CA: 1706 Dauphin Street Applicant: Hall McCormick & Assoc.

Received: 11/14/08 Meeting: 12/03/08

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way

Classification: Non-Contributing Property

Zoning: R-1

Project: Install signage.

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

This building has undergone a recent renovation. An American four-square, it was most likely constructed in 1915.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

## **STAFF REPORT**

- A. The applicants are seeking approval to install a new sign.
- B. The applicable Sign Design Guidelines provide for the following:
  - 1. The overall design of all signage including the mounting framework shall relate to the design of the principal building on the property. Buildings with a recognizable style such as Greek Revival, Italianate, Victorian, Queen Anne, Neo-classic, Craftsman, et al., should use signage of the same style. This can be done through the use of similar decorative features such as columns or brackets.
  - 2. The structural materials of the sign should match the historic materials of the building. Wood, metal, stucco, stone or brick, is allowed.
  - 3. The total maximum allowable sign area for all signs is one and one half square feet per linear front foot of the principal building, not to exceed 64 square feet.
  - 4. Lighted signs shall use focused, low intensity illumination. Lighted signs shall use focused, low intensity illumination. Such lighting shall not shine into or create glare at pedestrian or vehicular traffic, nor shall it shine into adjacent areas. Light fixtures mounted on the ground shall be screened by landscaping.
- C. Applicants propose:
  - 1. install sign
    - a. 6øtall; situated 2øfrom the ground;
    - b. 4ø8ö wide:
    - c. Approximately 4øby 5øon each side, equals 40 total square feet;
    - d. Wood columns;
    - e. Screen printed sign on wood
    - f. Spot lighting on photo cell;

submitted plan.

WEISER ISINEMENEN

The Board has determined that a  $5\phi$  height limitation on freestanding signs is appropriate for the pedestrian scale of historic districts. Therefore. Staff recommends the sign be reduced in height to  $5\phi$  Further, Staff recommends the applicants check with Urban Development.

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

181-08-CA: 56 St. Francis Street Applicant: RSA/ White-Spunner

Received: 11/17/08 Meeting: 12/03/08

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Lower Dauphin Street

Classification: Contributing Façade / New Construction

Zoning: B-4

Project: Install signage.

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

The historic cast iron façade is all that remains of this 1830s commercial building, the Coley Building. In 2007, the rear of the building was demolished and the façade was incorporated into the new garage structure for the Battle House Hotel.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

### **STAFF REPORT**

- A. The applicants are seeking approval to install a new sign. The sign will indicate the entrance to the elevator lobby for the õSpa at the Battlehouse. ÖAs demonstrated by the drawings, the sign is being placed on southwest corner of the spa/parking garage complex, at the corner of the historic façade of the Coley Building.
- B. The applicable Sign Design Guidelines provide for the following:
  - 1. The overall design of all signage including the mounting framework shall relate to the design of the principal building on the property. Buildings with a recognizable style such as Greek Revival, Italianate, Victorian, Queen Anne, Neo-classic, Craftsman, et al., should use signage of the same style. This can be done through the use of similar decorative features such as columns or brackets.
  - 2. The structural materials of the sign should match the historic materials of the building. Wood, metal, stucco, stone or brick, is allowed.
  - 3. The total maximum allowable sign area for all signs is one and one half square feet per linear front foot of the principal building, not to exceed 64 square feet.
  - 4. Lighted signs shall use focused, low intensity illumination.
- C. Applicants propose:
  - 1. install bracketed sign:
  - 2. metal decorative bracket:
  - 3. opaque, laminated glass:
  - 4. etched logo and lettering on glass face;
  - 5. illuminated LED tubing around perimeter of sign.



ex, the building has an existing 22 sq. ft. sign. The proposed ng the building within 64ø sq. ft. limit. The materials and design of the sign conform to the design guidelines. Therefore, Staff recommends approval.

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

182-08-CA: 1123 Church Street Applicant: David L. Barnett

Received: 11/10/08 Meeting: 12/03/08

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East Classification: Contributing Property

Zoning: R-1

Project: Install fence.

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

This two-story masonry home was most likely built in 1896 and is an early indicator of the trend from Victorian to Neo-Classical Revival architecture.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

## **STAFF REPORT**

- A. The applicants are seeking approval to install new fence along the west property line to match an existing fence already on the property.
- B. The Mobile Historic District Guidelines provide for the following:
  - 1. Fences should complement the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District. The height of solid fences in historic districts is generally restricted to six feet.
- C. Applicants propose:
  - 1. build wood privacy fence
    - a. along western property line
    - b. extend from the rear to the front of the existing house
    - c. will match existing fence
    - d. 8øhigh
    - e. Painted to match existing

## **STAFF ANALYSIS**

The existing fence, which is 8øtall, was built in the 1980s without a COA. Currently, the guidelines state that fences may not be taller than 6ø Therefore, Staff recommends denial unless the property owner is willing to lower the height of the fence. Further, Staff is concerned about how the proposed fencing will be situated along with the plantings on the property line. Finally, a side yard fence may not extend beyond the plane of the house and therefore must stop parallel with the front wall of the house.

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS STAFF COMMENTS

**183-08-CA: 309-311 Conception Street** 

**Applicant:** Chris Barazza for Neighborhood and Community Services

Received: 11/06/08 Meeting: 12/03/08

## INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: DeTonti Square

Classification: Non-Contributing Property

Zoning: R-1

Project: Community Garden

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

This double vacant lot was the site of the Clark School, constructed in 1902. The Clark School burned in 1964.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states õthe Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the changeí will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the districtí ö

#### STAFF REPORT

- A. The City of Mobile® Department of Neighborhood and Community Services has been working with the neighborhood and the county extension office to plan a community garden for this vacant space in the heart of DeTonti Square. The lot is situated between two contributing, significant buildings within the district.
- B. Applicants propose:
  - 1. installing raised beds in the southwest corner of the lot, per submitted plan;
  - 2. installing a cistern along the south border of the lot to catch water from the X, per submitted plan;
  - 3. landscaping, per submitted plan;
  - 4. fence to be installed at a later date and is not up for approval at this point.

## **STAFF ANALYSIS**

Staff has discussed the project with the applicants. The applicants are amenable to building the raised beds out of masonry and have indicated they would like any suggestions we may have.

The proposed cistern is approximately 7øtall, with an 8ødiameter. The tank will be white, heavy-duty plastic; however the applicants intend to box it in with lattice work and concealed by landscaping. While there is certainly precedent for cisterns in historic districts, they were generally located behind the main building, on an interior elevation. Given the significance of the building at 305-07 North Conception ó the Parmly House is one of Mobileøs finest Greek Revival townhouses, circa 1852, Staff recommends the

he building as possible. Staff further recommends any drain same color as the brick.