AGENDA ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

February 28, 2005 – 3:00 P.M. Mayor's Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza 205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

B. MID MONTH APPROVALS

1.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Ms. Patricia Davis/ Tom Gardner, GC 7 Macy Place 1/27/05 asc Install new Timberline architectural 30 yr. shingle Desert Tan in color. Install new built-up roof on flat section to rear. Repair/replace rotten roof decking, facia and soffit as necessary with new material to match existing in dimension and profile. Paint new wood to match existing color.
2.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Victor Castro 162 Michigan Avenue 1/18/05 weh Paint house to match existing light blue color scheme.
3.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	J. E. Mizell for John Simms 200 Roper Street 2/1/05 asc Reroof the house using 20 year GAF black shingle.
4.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Summers Roofing/Lewis Advertising 1668 Government Street 2/4/05 asc Roof repairs to include: install new flat roof on flat portions of roof and replace dimensional shingles as necessary to match existing in color.
5.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Larry Posner 163 St. Emmanuel Street 2/9/05 jss Repair holes in roof as necessary, re-roof to match existing in profile, materials, color and dimension.
6.	Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Reeves Construction Company 1119 Government Street 2/9/05 jdb Re-roof building with timberline shingles, charcoal in color.

 Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved: 	Affordable Painting and Construction 66 Semmes Avenue 2/9/05 jss Re-roof building with fiberglass 3-tab shingles, black in color.
 Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved: 	Virginia Meador 7 Blacklawn 2/9/05 jss Repair wood on portico with new materials to match existing in material, profile and dimension.
9. Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Cooner Roofing 300 George Street 2/10/05 asc Install new shingle roof using charcoal black 3 tab shingles.
 Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved: 	Jacinda Hollins 1000 Old Shell Road 2/11/05 jdb Repaint house in the following American Traditions color: Red base 206267.
 Applicant's Name: Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved: 	 R. Preston Bolt Jr. 162 S. Georgia Avenue 2/14/05 jss Paint the front porch ceiling and guest house Devoe Blue Quartz.
12. Applicant's Name : Property Address: Date of Approval: Work Approved:	Enoch Aguilera 1118 Government Street 2/15/05 asc Re-roof house and garage with Timberline or 3 tab GAF shingles, weathered grey in color. Re-paint house and garage in existing color scheme. Repair existing wood fence with new materials to match existing in profile, and dimension.

C: NEW BUSINESS

1.	025-04/05-CA Applicant: Nature of Request:	658 Government Street McDonalds Restaurants Demolish existing restaurant and construct new restaurant as per submitted designs.
2.	026-04/05-CA	256 State Street
	Applicant:	Miller Hamilton Snider & Odom, Owners
	Nature of Request:	Douglas Kearley, Architect Construct one attached shed and one free-standing shed at rear of properties as per submitted plans.
3.	027-04/05-CA	1758 New Hamilton Street
	Applicant:	Linda Odom, Owner, Douglas Kearley, Architect
	Nature of Request:	Construct rear addition as per submitted plans.
4.	028-04/05-CA	955 Palmetto Street
	Applicant:	Ann A. Cowley
	Nature of Request:	Install 6' wood privacy fence along east and south side of residence as per submitted plans.

025-04/05-CA	658 Government Street			
Applicant:	McDonald's Restaurants			
Received:	2/14/05		Meeting Dates:	
Submission Date + 45	Days:	3/31/05	1) 2/28/05	2)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:	Church Street East Historic District
Classification:	Non-Contributing
<u>Zoning:</u>	B-4, General Business
Nature of Project:	Demolish existing non-historic restaurant & re-construct new restaurant as per
	submitted plans.

The building site is located on the north side of Government Street between Washington and South Dearborn Streets.

The existing front of the restaurant is situated within 5' of the sidewalk with mature landscaping between the building wall and the sidewalk. The existing building is the only structure on the north side of the street between Washington and South Dearborn Streets. The remainder of the block is taken up with parking for the restaurant.

The proposed building measures approximately 45' wide by approximately 105' long.

The building faces south towards Government Street, and the front building line is located at a distance of 37' from the sidewalk. The proposed building is one story brick veneer over concrete block on a slab-on-grade foundation. The ground plan is rectangular in design. The overall wall height is 17' to the top of the parapet, with areas at the corners, the entrance, and over the drive thru windows raised to 19'-8". The glazing system is anodized aluminum with clear insulated glass. A flat roof will be hidden behind the parapet wall.

The following are proposed building materials:

- a. foundation concrete slab-on-grade
- b. façade brick veneer over concrete block
- c. doors clear glass in anodized frames
- d. windows -clear glass in bronze anodized frames
- e. awnings green metal
- f. roof flat concealed behind a parapet

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Guidelines for New Commercial and Residential Construction in Mobile's Historic Districts

Sections	<u>Topic</u>	Description of Work
3	Design Standards for New Construction	Construct new restaurant
3,I	Placement and Orientation	
3,II	Massing and Scale	
3,III	Façade Elements	
3,IV	Materials and Ornamentation	
3, IV, A	Appropriate Materials for New Residential Constr	ruction

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "In the case of a proposed new building, that such building will not, in itself, or by reason of its location on the site, materially impair the architectural or historical value of the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity and that such building will not be injurious to the general visual character of the Historic District in which it is to be located."

STAFF REPORT

3,I

I. **Placement and Orientation**: The guidelines state that new construction should be placed on the lot so that setback and spacing approximate those of nearby historic buildings.

- A. Setbacks in the Church Street East Historic District range from buildings constructed at the sidewalk to buildings with a 5'-25' setback.
- B. The proposed building site is located on the footprint of the existing building.
- C. The existing setback is 5'.
- D. The proposed setback is approximately 37'.
- E. The extra distance is to accommodate an internal circle of traffic flow.

3,II

II. Massing and Scale:

- A. The guidelines state that new construction should reference the massing of forms of nearby historic buildings.
 - 1. There are multiple examples of fast food restaurants in the Historic Districts.
 - 2. The proposed building is a 1 story brick veneer structure.
- B. The guidelines state that new buildings should have foundations similar in height to those of nearby historic buildings.
 - 1. There are no other historic buildings within this block.
 - 2. The existing restaurant has a slab-on-grade foundation.
 - 3. The Arby's restaurant directly across the street has a slab-on-grade foundation.
 - 4. The proposed foundation is concrete slab-on-grade.
- C. The guidelines state that new construction should consider roof shapes, pitches and complexity similar to or compatible with those of adjacent historic buildings.
 - 1. A variety of commercial roof shapes exist in the Church Street East Historic District, but the most common are flat roofs behind a parapet.

3, III

III. Façade Elements:

- A. The guidelines state that new construction should reflect the use of façade elements of nearby historic buildings.
 - 1. The use of clear glass in bronze anodized frames is a common design element found in new construction throughout the Historic Districts.
 - 2. The use of a rusticated base with brick veneer and a header bands below the parapet add interest to the elevation.

IV. Materials and Ornamentation:

- A. The guidelines provide a list of appropriate materials for compatible new construction.
 - 1. There are a number of commercial brick veneer structures in the Church Street East Historic District.
- B. The guidelines state that the degree of ornamentation used in new construction should be compatible with the degree of ornamentation found in the design of nearby historic buildings. Profiles and dimensions should be consistent with examples in the district.
 - 1. The Board encourages use of modern materials and design methods in new construction.

V. Miscellaneous:

- A. The existing parking will remain unchanged.
- B. The existing brick and iron fence around the perimeter of the property will remain.
- C. The drive-thru area between the sidewalk and the building will be stamped concrete as per submitted photograph. This answers a recommendation of ARB staff by the applicant.
- D. There will only be one menu board per drive-thru lane.
- E. There will be a canopy over the menu board as per submitted photograph.
- F. Building signage includes four golden "M"s, each 16' square for a total of 64 square feet of signage.

Staff recommends approval of the application with the following conditions:

- 1. That the menu board poles be painted dark green to match the awnings.
- 2. That the canopies over the menu boards be painted dark green to match the awnings.
- 3. That the area between the sidewalk and the street be landscaped with liriope or other lowmaintenance plant as opposed to colored aggregate.
- 4. That there be a planting buffer between the proposed front drive and the city sidewalk.

026-04/05-CA	256 State St	reet		
Applicant:	Miller Hami	lton Snider & O	dom, Owners, Doug	las Kearley, Architect
Received:	2/14/05		Meeting Dates:	
Submission Date + 45	Days:	3/31/05	1) 2/28/05	2)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:	DeTonti Square Historic District
Classification:	Contributing
Zoning:	R-B, Residential Business
Nature of Project:	Construct two storage sheds – one 6' x 8' freestanding, and one attached, as per
	submitted plans.

<u>APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT</u> Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

Sections	<u>Topic</u>	Description of Work
3	Accessory Structures	Construct 2 storage sheds

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change "…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district."

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application, and in Staff's judgment:

- A. The proposed construction is in compliance with Section 3 of the Design Review Guidelines.
 - 1. The main complex is a series of historic and contemporary structures maintaining the look of separate structures but connected at the rear.
 - 2. The proposed accessory structure designs are compatible with the main buildings.
 - 3. The proposed accessory structure building materials are compatible with the main buildings.
 - 4. The proposed building materials are as follows:

foundation – slab on grade

exterior walls:

attached shed - painted board & batten siding

freestanding shed – painted hardiplank lap siding

exterior doors -1"x4" beaded edge on 1"x4" frame with false strap hinges

roofing – asphalt shingles over plywood decking

Staff recommends approval as submitted.

027-04/05-CA	1758 New Hamilton Street			
<u>Applicant</u> :	Linda Odom	, Owner/ Dougla	as Kearley, Architect	
Received:	2/14/05		Meeting Dates:	
Submission Date + 45	Days:	3/31/05	1) 2/28/05	2)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:	Old Dauphin Way Historic District
Classification:	Contributing
<u>Zoning:</u>	R-1, Single Family Residential
Nature of Project:	Construct rear addition measuring 27'-8" by width of existing rear residence as per submitted plan. Addition to contain new master bedroom and bathroom, new den and new 18' x 20' covered porch.

<u>APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT</u> Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

Sections	<u>Topic</u>	Description of Work
3	Additions	Construct rear addition

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change "...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district."

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application, and in Staff's judgment:

- A. The proposed construction is in compliance with Section 3 of the Design Review Guidelines.
 - 1. The main structure is a one and one-half story wood frame bungalow with recessed three bay front porch, end gable roof and a large central front dormer.
 - 2. The proposed addition occurs across the rear of the residence.
 - 3. The proposed one story addition squares off the rear elevation, and measures 27'-8" deep by the existing width of the rear elevation.
 - 4. A 6' deep recessed porch is supported by 3 12" square wood box columns matching the front porch columns.
 - 5. The Materials List and Design Details are appropriate for this structure.
 - a. siding to match existing;
 - b. brick piers with framed lattice infill to match existing;
 - c. wood box columns;
 - d. cornice, soffit, fascia, corner boards to match those of the main house;
 - 6. The addition will be approximately 5' from the property line, following the existing line established by the main house.
 - 7. The Historic District Overlay Ordinance will compensate for this narrow setback.
 - 8. The proposed addition will not be visible from public view.

Staff recommends Approval as Submitted.

028-04/05-CA	955 Palmett	to Street		
Applicant:	Ann A. Cov	vley		
Received:	2/21/05		Meeting Dates:	
Submission Date + 45	Days:	4/7/05	1) 2/28/05	2)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:	Oakleigh Garden Historic District		
Classification:	Contributing		
Zoning:	R-1, Single Family Residential		
Nature of Project:	Install 6' high wood privacy fence as per submitted site		
	plan. Fence to have a flat top and left natural to weather.		

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT Design Review Guidelines for Mobile's Historic Districts

Sections	<u>Topic</u>
3	Fences, Walls & Gates

Description of Work Construct wood privacy fence in rear & side yards.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change "...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district."

STAFF REPORT

Based on the information contained in the application, and in Staff's judgment:

- A. The proposed construction is in compliance with Section 3 of the Design Review Guidelines.
 - 1. The main structure is a one story frame Victorian cottage.
 - 2. The proposed wood fence is 6' in height.
 - The fence will be unpainted, left to weather. 3.
 - There are no setback issues as this is a lot in the middle of the block and the fence will 4. be located on the east and south property lines.

Staff recommends approval as submitted.