#### AGENDA ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

December 17, 2007 – 3:00 P.M. Pre-Council Chambers – Mobile Government Plaza 205 Government Street

# A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

# **B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS**

- Applicant's Name: Greg Rawls Property Address: 1412 Eslava Street Date of Approval: December 4, 2007 Foundation repairs to visible brick to match existing in profile, dimension and material.
- Applicant's Name: Greg Rawls
   Property Address: 1410 Eslava Street
   Date of Approval: December 4, 2007
   Repair/replace wooden windows and siding with materials to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Foundation repairs to visible brick to match existing in profile, dimension and material.
- Applicant's Name: Tuan Titlestad Property Address: 301 Marine Street Date of Approval: December 5, 2007 Paint exterior in the following Sherwin-Williams colors: December 5, 2007 Paint exterior in the following Sherwin-Williams colors:
  - Body Studio Taupe, SW 2071
  - Trim Roycroft Vellum
  - Porch Deck and Lattice Black
- 4. Applicant's Name: Stauter Construction/R Robertson Property Address: 171 South Georgia Avenue Date of Approval: December 6, 2007 Undertake repair work to include: foundation repair to porch sill and foundation piers. Repair/replace as needed rotten wood with new wood to match existing. Repair cheek walls, stairs and flower boxes as necessary.
- Applicant's Name: DoRight Construction/Katie Jernigan Property Address: 27 Hannon Avenue Date of Approval: December 6, 2007 Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension and material.
- Applicant's Name: Big Bear Construction Property Address: 309 West Street Date of Approval: December 7, 2007 Install new roof, removing existing tile portions. Install new architectural shingles color to match tile sections. Install tiles to match existing portions. Remove canvas awnings. Replace rotten wood with new material to match existing. Replace rear wood steps and rotten wood to match existing.
- Applicant's Name: Mr. & Mrs. William E. Jones Property Address: 1122 Montauk Avenue Date of Approval: December 7, 2007 Replace rotten wood on porch, column bases to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Repaint building to match existing color scheme.

 Applicant's Name: Jeff Mizell Contracting Property Address: 1721 Laurel Street Date of Approval: December 10, 2007 Reroof residence with 25-year 3tab shingles in Grey.

# C. OLD BUSINESS

- 1. 153-07-CA: 153 Government Street Applicant: Mobile County/Goodwin, Mills and Cawood Request: Construct a new courthouse annex building.
- 205-07-CA: 202 Government Street Applicant: Zito Russell Architects Request: Install a coiling metal garage door as opposed to the proposed aluminum one.
- 222-07-CA: 9 North Cedar Street Applicant: Casey Ginn Request: Rebuild the removed addition.

# **D. NEW BUSINESS**

- 223-07-CA: 1105 Selma Street Applicant: Chris Bowen Request: Allow chain link fence to remain.
- 224-07-CA: 958 Augusta Street Applicant: Forrest McCaughn Request: Extend existing fence.
- 225-07-CA: 167 State Street
   Applicant: Devereaux Bemis
   Request: Perform general repairs.
- 4. 226-07-CA: 167 South Georgia Avenue
   Applicant: Hali Whetstone
   Request: Add pergola to cover back patio.
- 227-07-CA: 1721 Laurel Street Applicant: Jeff Mizell Request: Reroof with galvanized 5V crimp metal panels.

# E. OTHER BUSINESS and ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Discussion.

# F. ADJOURNMENT

153-07-CA:153 Government Street (alternately 109 Government and 151 Government)Applicant:Mobile County/Goodwin, Mills and CawoodReceived:08/27/07Resubmitted:12/07/07

Meeting: 09/10/07 Meeting: 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East

Classification:Contributing (Levert House), Non-Contributing (Court Annex Building)Zoning:B-4Project:Build a new courthouse annex using the existing building shell.

#### **BUILDING HISTORY**

The courthouse annex was a part of the larger courthouse complex, which was demolished last year. The building was constructed around the 1856 Levert House, an important historical landmark of the city. The Levert House is currently the home of the Mobile Bar Association.

# STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

# STAFF REPORT

- A. The courthouse is currently being expanded for offices and county court archives. A Design Review Subcommittee met on 03/28/07 in order to address concerns regarding new construction for this property. A new plan was submitted and denied. Another Design Review Subcommittee met on 08/22/07 in order to address the new concerns, but again the application was denied. A subsequent meeting with the County, the Mayor, members of the Review Board and the Architects on 11/30/07 resulted in more changes to the original design. A copy of the email with the points of the meeting is included in the supplemental materials.
- B. The Guidelines for New Residential and Commercial Construction state "the goal of new construction should be to blend into the historic district but to avoid creating a false sense of history."
- C. The proposed work will add three stories to the existing building shell at 153 Government per the submitted plans, which includes the following:
  - 1. A CMU and steel structure with an exterior finish of brick with pre-cast concrete ornamentation.
  - 2. A metal standing seam pitched roof and membrane covered flat roof.
  - 3. Aluminum windows with pre-cast concrete sills and headers with a monumental entry facing Government.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The courthouse annex is exempt from city jurisdiction save for the MHDC, which has authority based on State enabling legislation. Therefore, all proposed improvements for this address must come through the ARB. As mentioned above, there have been several meetings held in order to address concerns regarding the construction of such a large and prominent building. A final meeting with the County, the Mayor, members of the Review Board and the Architects on 11/30/07 has resulted in what staff feels is an acceptable compromise for the design of this building. Due to the difficulty of understanding how the courthouse will fit within the context of the area using only two-dimensional plans, three-dimensional renderings are being used for the new application.

Staff feels that the applicants have addressed the primary issues about which the Board had concerns. The extreme regularity and proportions of the elements on the façade have been softened by the smaller fourth floor windows, which have also been lowered so that they do not abut the cornice. The south (rear) elevation is better detailed and will mimic the front through its features. Staff believes that the rear bay should not be fully stuccoed (option 1), but rather bricked with pilasters (option 2). A more pedestrian scale will be emphasized through the removal of parking spaces and additional lighting. The east, west and south elevations will have three story windows to break up the expanses of the space. Staff recommends approving the application.

205-07-CA:	202 Government Street			
Applicant:	Zito Russell Architects			
Received:	10/30/07	Resubmitted:	11/19/07	
Meeting:	11/19/07	Meeting:	12/03/07	

<u>Resubmitted</u>: 12/05/07 <u>Meeting</u>: 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Church Street EastClassification:Non-ContributingZoning:B-4Project:Change the garage door.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

According to previous records, this mid-twentieth century building was originally the Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Building. It has undergone a number of alterations throughout the years.

# STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

#### STAFF REPORT

- A. This building will be the office of Sullivan-St. Clair. An application was approved on 12/03/07 for an aluminum garage door on Conception. However, for a number of reasons, they will not be able to install it. All other work, including the approved iron gates on Government and the iron vents, will remain the same.
- B. The Guidelines state, "garage doors should be simple in design and compatible with the main building."
- C. The applicant is proposing to install a coiling metal door on Conception. The color will match the brick.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Board has generally denied coiling garage doors.

222-07-CA:	9 North Cedar Street			
Applicant:	Casey Ginn			
Received:	11/19/07	Resubmitted:	12/04/07	
Meeting:	12/03/07	Meeting:	12/17/07	

### INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Lower DauphinClassification:ContributingZoning:B-4Project:Rebuild the removed addition.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

According to previous records, this one-story frame Creole Cottage was constructed circa 1834.

# STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

# STAFF REPORT

- A. Mr. Ginn received a COA to repair damaged wood; however, the damage proved to be extensive and the addition was removed. He also received an emergency roof repair COA on 12/04/07.
- B. The Guidelines call for rehabilitations and new additions to respect the age and style of the building.
- C. Mr. Ginn is proposing to rebuild the cabinet-style rear addition to match the removed addition.
  - 1. The new addition will be one foot shorter than the original one.
    - 2. Design and materials will match what was removed in material and profile to include the wood sash windows, four-panel door, roofline, roofing, foundation and other decorative features, but with the exception of the siding.
    - 3. The proposed siding will be board and batten.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff feels that the proposed new addition will not impair the historic integrity of the building or the district and recommends approving the application. It will be a reconstruction of the removed addition.

Mr. Ginn is proposing complementary rather than matching siding to differentiate the new addition from the original residence. While board and batten is a historic type of exterior cladding and staff does not object to using it, the Board has generally voted to have siding in new additions match the original siding.

 223-07-CA:
 1105 Selma Street

 Applicant:
 Chris Bowen

 Received:
 11/26/07 (+45 Days: 01/10/08)

 Meeting:
 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

 Historic District:
 Oakleigh Garden

 Classification:
 Non-Contributing

 Zoning:
 R-1

 Project:
 Repair/replace existing chain link fence.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

This apartment complex was constructed in the latter half of the twentieth century.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states, "the Board shall not approve an application proposing Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district..."

# STAFF REPORT

- A. After complaints from several neighborhood residents, Urban Development issued a Notice of Violation to Chris Bowen, the owner. The chain link already existed, albeit at a more typical 5'-0" height. The new fence, however, is 8'-0" tall.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[fences] should complement the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District. The height of solid fences in historic districts is generally restricted to six feet...the finished side of the fence should face toward public view."
- C. Mr. Bowen is requesting the Board allow the 8'-0" chain link fence to remain.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Although the Board typically does not approve chain link in the districts, this fence already existed. Therefore, Staff recommends approving the chain link at its original height. However, this is a multi-family property and as such the Guidelines allow an 8'-0" fence. If Mr. Bowen would like to maintain the current height, Staff recommends an alternate type, such as iron, wood or perhaps an ornamental wire fence like the one proposed for 805 Church Street. Another option Staff would recommend is painting the fence Bellingrath Green or a similar dark color (though not black).

 224-07-CA:
 958 Augusta Street

 Applicant:
 Forrest McCaughn

 Received:
 12/06/07 (+45 Days: 01/20/07)

 Meeting:
 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Oakleigh GardenClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Extend fence.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

According to previous records, this one-story frame Shotgun was built circa 1906.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states, "the Board shall not approve an application proposing Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district..."

# STAFF REPORT

- A. There is currently a fence in the back.
- B. The Guidelines state, "[fences] should complement the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the District. The height of solid fences in historic districts is generally restricted to six feet...the finished side should face toward public view."
- C. The owner is proposing to fill in the gaps of the current fence to enclose the back yard with fencing to match existing in material, profile, dimension and color.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The proposed work does not impair the historic integrity of the building or district. Staff recommends approval.

 225-07-CA:
 167 State Street

 Applicant:
 Devereaux Bemis

 Received:
 12/06/07 (+45 Days: 01/20/07)

 Meeting:
 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:DeTonti SquareClassification:ContributingZoning:R-BProject:Perform general repairs.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

Constructed in 1839, this Federal-style building is one of three two-story row houses built as rental units, two of which remain. It was used as a grocery store for a number of years.

# STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states, "the Board shall not approve an application proposing Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district..."

### STAFF REPORT

- A. Mr. Bemis is in the process of restoring his home.
- B. The Guidelines call for rehabilitations and new additions to respect the age and style of the building.
- C. The proposed work includes general repairs to the residence:
  - 1. Repair fence, installing a gate to match existing.
  - 2. Repair doors and windows, replacing sash as needed with materials to match existing.
  - 3. Repair gutters with materials to match existing.
  - 4. Stain rear steps with Behr Moon Tan.
  - 5. Paint doors, door and window frames and sashes in green to match existing.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The proposed work does not impair the historic integrity of the building or district. Staff recommends approval.

 226-07-CA:
 167 South Georgia Avenue

 Applicant:
 Hali Whetstone

 Received:
 12/07/07 (+45 Days: 01/21/07)

 Meeting:
 12/17/07

### INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Oakleigh GardenClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Add a pergola to cover the back patio.

# **BUILDING HISTORY**

According to previous records, this two-story frame residence was built circa 1914.

# STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states, "the Board shall not approve an application proposing Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district..."

# STAFF REPORT

- A. The Whetstones recently removed a non-historic rear deck to create a rear courtyard with pool.
- B. The Guidelines state, "accessory structures should complement the design and scale of the main building."
- C. Mrs. Whetstone is proposing to add a one-story pergola over the back patio per the submitted information:
  - 1. It will extend 8'-0" from the house on the south side, 12'-0" on the north and 16'-0" at the center.
    - 2. It will have a wood deck.
    - 3. It will be attached to the residence with copper flashing.
    - 4. It will be partially covered by standing seam metal panels.
    - 5. There will be 12'-0" tall columns (12" in diameter) that match those at the front.
    - 6. The columns will match the trim color and the rafters will be black to match the color of the shutters.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Based on the submitted plans, staff feels the proposed work does not impair the historic integrity of the building or district. The pergola is part of a landscape plan for the rear yard and it will only be minimally attached to the residence. The design of the structure (columns etc) will match existing and it will not be seen from the street. Staff recommends approving the application.

 227-07-CA:
 1721 Laurel Street

 Applicant:
 Jeff Mizell

 Received:
 12/07/07 (+45 Days: 01/21/07)

 Meeting:
 12/17/07

# INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Old Dauphin WayClassification:Non-ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Reroof with galvanized 5V crimp metal panels.

### **BUILDING HISTORY**

According to previous records, this one-story frame residence was constructed circa 1919. It has been significantly altered throughout the years.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states, "the Board shall not approve an application proposing Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district..."

### STAFF REPORT

- A. The roof is currently clad in asbestos shingles, which are not original to the house.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state that a roof "is one of the most dominant features of a building [and] materials should be appropriate."
- C. The applicant is proposing to replace the current shingles with 5V crimp galvanized metal vertical panels.

#### RECOMMENDATION

It is common for roofs of historic homes to be reclad in the styles and materials popular at each time. Wood shingles, for example, gave way to asbestos shingles and so forth. The current trend in Mobile is to clad roofs with metal in an assortment of colors. While some architectural styles lend themselves to variety, others do not. This residence is a one-story cottage that has been significantly altered throughout the years and is considered non-contributing to the district.

According to the Design Review Guidelines, metal is an appropriate roof material in historic districts and Staff recommends approving the application.