AGENDA ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

July 09, 2007 – 3:00 P.M. Pre-Council Chambers – Mobile Government Plaza 205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS

- Applicant's Name: Jay Turner Property Address: 68 St. Francis Street Date of Approval: June 12, 2007 Stain front doors to match existing. Paint ironwork to match existing.
- Applicant's Name: James Eaton Property Address: 558 Dauphin Street Date of Approval: June 12, 2007 Replace a broken windowpane on a top floor window of the front elevation.
- Applicant's Name: Mike Henderson Property Address: 1304 Dauphin Street Date of Approval: June 12, 2007 Replace existing architectural shingle roof with new 30-yr architectural shingle roof in Weathered Wood.
- Applicant's Name: John Peebles Property Address: 202 Government Street Date of Approval: June 12, 2007 Temporarily place boards to fit within the openings of the building.
- Applicant's Name: Charles Jones Property Address: 454 Conti Street Date of Approval: June 13, 2007 Install balustrade on porch to match existing porch railing and stair rails with square spindles. Paint building in the existing color scheme.
- Applicant's Name: Devereaux Bemis Property Address: 167 State Street Date of Approval: June 13, 2007 Repair and replace rotten windows as needed matching existing in profile, dimension and material. Repair rear stair and install a new balustrade per MHDC stock design #1.
- Applicant's Name: Raymond and Debra Pelt Property Address: 107 North Pine Street Date of Approval: June 14, 2007 Repaint building in the following Valspar color scheme:
 - Body Montpelier Peach
 - Trim Sweet Sand
- Applicant's Name: Christopher Peters Property Address: 401 Church Street Date of Approval: June 14, 2007 Repair/replace rotten wood as necessary with materials to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Paint building in the existing color scheme.

- Applicant's Name: Mobile Bar Association Property Address: 153 Government Street Date of Approval: June 14, 2007 Reroof using black architectural shingles. Roof will match existing.
- 10. Applicant's Name: Carl Thomas/Liberty Roofing Property Address: 160 Charles Street Date of Approval: June 15, 2007 Install new charcoal 3-tab fiberglass shingles.

11. Applicant's Name: Veets Property Address: 68-70 South Royal Street Date of Approval: June 15, 2007 Paint façade in the following BLP color scheme:

- Body Old Dauphin Way Gold
- Trim Black
- 12. Applicant's Name: Susan Gianelloni Property Address: 208 South Cedar Street Date of Approval: June 15, 2007 Install new charcoal 3-tab fiberglass shingles.
- 13. Applicant's Name: Ruth Fremouw
 Property Address: 1408 Conti Street
 Date of Approval: June 19, 2007
 Remove rear storage unit. Repair/replace per City Engineering. Paint residence in the following colors:
 - Body White
 - Trim White
 - Door and Shutters Black
- 14. Applicant's Name: Holmes and Holmes Architects
 Property Address: 709 Dauphin Street
 Date of Approval: June 19, 2007
 Replace metal windows on Dauphin Street façade with new windows to match existing.

15. Applicant's Name: Strategy Inc.
 Property Address: 112 South Dearborn Street
 Date of Approval: June 21, 2007
 Install 3' high white picket fence on the south side at the sidewalk. Fence will not have scalloped edging.

- 16. Applicant's Name: Peter Wallace Property Address: 1122 Palmetto Street Date of Approval: June 21, 2007 Paint exterior in the following Benjamin Moore colors:
 - Body Stonington Gray, HC170
 - Trim White
 - Accents Essex Green
- 17. Applicant's Name: Slate and Tile Company Property Address: 70 Etheridge Street
 Date of Approval: June 22, 2007 Replace damaged roof tiles with ones that match existing in material, profile, color and dimension. Paint porch in the existing color scheme.
- Applicant's Name: Annette Grow
 Property Address: 1114 Palmetto Street
 Date of Approval: June 22, 2007
 Paint porch deck black.

19. Applicant's Name: Hudson Tapia

Property Address: 964 Palmetto Street

Date of Approval: June 25, 2007

Replace existing handrail with 2x4 balusters (per MHDC stock plan #1) with a handrail with turned spindles (per MHDC stock plan #2). Repair/replace porch deck with 1x4 tongue and groove boards. Repaint residence in the following color scheme:

- Body Hazel (Green/Brown)
- Trim White
- Shutters Black

20. Applicant's Name: Watson Contracting Company

Property Address: 212 South Cedar Street **Date of Approval:** June 26, 2007 Replace rotten wood as necessary on siding and porch with materials to match existing in material, profile and dimension. Paint building in the existing color scheme.

21. Applicant's Name: Dandi Dolbear
 Property Address: 157 South Jefferson Street
 Date of Approval: June 26, 2007
 Reroof with materials to match existing – GAF Weathered Wood architectural shingles.

22. Applicant's Name: Proactive Medical Building/Extended Family Care

Property Address: 108 North Catherine Street **Date of Approval:** June 26, 2007 Install a 3x5 wood, double-faced sign that will be 4¹/₂' high attached to wood posts per the submitted plans.

23. Applicant's Name: Judy and Bruce Traub

Property Address: 1417 Brown Street **Date of Approval:** June 26, 2007 Install aluminum gate with opener to replace current wood gate at the back right corner of the house. The design will be DG-6B-A without the diagonal bars and decorative pieces. The gate will be 6' high, 9' wide and painted black. Install a front step handrail per the submitted design. Handrail is to be painted white.

24. Applicant's Name: Robert Schwarz
 Property Address: 13 North Reed Avenue
 Date of Approval: June 26, 2007
 Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match existing in material, profile and dimension. Paint new materials to match existing color scheme.

C. NOTICES OF VIOLATION and MUNICIPAL OFFENSE TICKETS

- 1. Notice of Violation: Barbara Ann Jarvis Property Address: 106 Garnett Street Date of Violation: June 13, 2007 Lack of maintenance/neglect.
- Notice of Violation: Ramon and Mark Macinnes
 Property Address: 959 Palmetto Street
 Date of Violation: June 18, 2007
 Work without Board approval.
- Notice of Violation: Laurie Hill Property Address: 1112 Selma Street Date of Violation: June 18, 2007 Work without Board approval.

- Notice of Violation: Kevin Painter
 Property Address: 553 Church Street
 Date of Violation: June 26, 2007
 Boarded windows on occupied residence.
- 5. Municipal Offence: David Naman Property Address: 108 Dauphin Street Date of Ticket: May 22, 2007 Lack of maintenance/neglect.
- 6. Municipal Offence: Mary Naman Property Address: 223 Dauphin Street Date of Ticket: May 22, 2007 Lack of maintenance/neglect.
- 7. Municipal Offence: William Townsend
 Property Address: 304 North Jackson Street
 Date of Ticket: May 22, 2007
 Lack of maintenance/neglect.
- Municipal Offence: Mohamed Shakova
 Property Address: 16 South Royal Street
 Date of Ticket: May 22, 2007
 Lack of maintenance/neglect.
- 9. Municipal Offence: Ken Henderson
 Property Address: 259 St. Francis Street
 Date of Ticket: May 22, 2007
 Lack of maintenance/neglect.

D. OLD BUSINESS

1. 076-07-CA: 261 South Ann Street Applicant: Scott Phillips Request: Reconfigure parking area.

E. NEW BUSINESS

- 095-07-CA: 550 Eslava Street Applicant: Don Williams Request: Construct an addition and garage. Expand existing driveway. Install masonry wall.
- 100-07-CA: 308 Congress Street Applicant: Beth Mund Request: Reconfigure rear steps.
- **101-07-CA**: 255 South Cedar Street **Applicant:** David Crowder **Request:** Replace iron rail on balcony with masonry rail. Install a copper gutter.
- 4. 102-07-CA: 959 Palmetto Street
 Applicant: Mark Macinnes
 Request: Reside rear elevation with inverted board and batten.
- 5. **103-07-CA**: 28 South Lafayette Street **Applicant:** Douglas Kearley **Request:** Reconfigure rear shed structure.

- 6. 104-07-CA: 1604 Dauphin Street
 Applicant: Douglas Kearley
 Request: Enclose upper rear porch.
- 7. **105-07-CA**: 754 Government Street (West of) **Applicant:** Max Morey **Request:** Construct a new residence.
- 8. 106-07-CA: 1212 New St. Francis Street Applicant: Charles Holder Request: Add two dormers. Install a rear deck or screened porch.

F. OTHER BUSINESS and ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. No other business.

G. ADJOURNMENT

 076-07-CA:
 261 South Ann Street

 Applicant:
 Scott Phillips

 Received:
 05/17/07 (+45 Days: 07/01/07)

 Meeting:
 06/20/07

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

 Historic District:
 Oakleigh Garden

 Classification:
 Non-Contributing (Garage Apartment) and Contributing (Main Residence)

 Zoning:
 R-1

 Project:
 Reconfigure parking.

BUILDING HISTORY

Previous records in MHDC's files date this garage apartment to 1945, although a one-story structure with a 259 South Ann Street address appears on this site on the 1925 Sanborn map. It is difficult to tell, however, if it is the current building. The garage is now part of 261 South Ann Street. The main residence has been used as multiple units since at least 1925.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. This garage structure was an apartment. It is currently vacant, as is the main residence. Both buildings are currently being converted into condominiums. The Board approved the building section of the conversion on 06/11/07. The parking section was tabled in order to find a more appropriate solution.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state that parking areas should have a "design, location and materials [that are] compatible with the property. The appearance...should be minimized through good site planning and design [and] screened from view by the use of low masonry walls, wood or iron fences or landscaping."
- C. The proposed work will reconfigure the parking for the property per the resubmitted plan:
 - 1. Retain the 21'-0" wide curb cut at South Ann.
 - 2. Enlarge existing concrete drive at South Ann to allow three 8'-0" by 18'-0" spaces with materials to match existing.
 - 3. Install a 3'-0" wood picket fence around the parking area.
 - 4. Enlarge the 8'-0" wide curb cut at Texas to 14'-0" wide.
 - 5. Enlarge existing gravel drive at Texas to allow three 8'-0" by 18'-0" spaces with materials to match existing.
 - 6. Install a 3'-0" wood picket fence around the parking area.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the proposed work that will not impair the historic integrity of the building or the district.

The areas being proposed for parking are already being used as such. The proposed condominium project will have four units. The proposed amount of parking is six spaces – three at South Ann Street, which will only be minimally enlarged, and three at Texas Street, which will be paved with an alternate material such as gravel or grasscrete to reduce its impact. There will also be a large amount of landscaping around the parking areas. The picket fence falls within the standards of the Design Review Guidelines.

Staff recommends approving the application. The applicant will need to speak with Traffic Engineering and Right-of-Way regarding the curb cuts. The applicant will also need to speak with Urban Forestry regarding any tree removal.

 095-07-CA:
 550 Eslava Street

 Applicant:
 Don Williams

 Received:
 06/11/07 (+45 Days: 07/27/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

 Historic District:
 Church Street East

 Classification:
 Contributing

 Zoning:
 R-1

 Project:
 Construct an addition and garage. Expand existing driveway. Install masonry wall.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, Henry Alexander built this one-story L-shaped frame residence with Victorian elements circa 1895 at 2753 Grant Street. In the summer of 1979 it was moved to 550 Eslava as part of the Church Street East Community Development Block Grant program.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. There is currently a rear porch on this residence and existing wood picket and privacy fences surrounding the backyard. The driveway for this residence is located along South Cedar Street; it leads to the backyard.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state that new additions should respect the age and style of the building and that walls "should complement the building...design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District. The height...is generally restricted to six feet."
- C. The proposed work includes the following:
 - 1. Enclose the existing rear porch with a new addition that includes a new bedroom and kitchen area, a covered porch and a double garage per the submitted plans. All new construction will match existing to include:
 - a. Finished floor elevations, wall and ceiling heights.
 - b. Cornice overhang and style, roof pitch and decking material thickness.
 - c. Interior/exterior siding and architectural details.
 - 2. Expand the existing 10'-0" wide driveway to 16'-0" wide.
 - 3. Install a 6'-0" and 8'-0" tall stucco wall at the existing wood fences (to be removed) per the submitted plans.
 - 4. Relocate a Crepe Myrtle within the City Right-of-Way per the submitted plan.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that there are elements to the proposed work that will impair the historic integrity of the building or the district.

The height of the proposed wall is 8'-0" tall, which is above the 6'-0" height limit maintained by the Board. Additionally, staff feels that the masonry wall is out of scale with the relatively modest frame residence. A wood privacy fence would be a more appropriate solution. The expanded driveway would also be inappropriate for the residential neighborhood. The drawing indicates a new front door that is inappropriate for the style of the residence. Staff feels either the current door should remain or a more appropriate door should be installed. Staff also feels more appropriate rear doors should be installed in the new addition. The remaining items will not impair the historic integrity of the building or the district.

Staff recommends conditioning the approval with the following changes to the application – install more appropriate doors, retain the 10'-0" wide curb cut, install a more appropriate fence with a 6'-0" height limit. Staff also requests that any windows removed from the existing be reused in the new addition. The applicant will need to speak with Urban Forestry regarding any tree removal.

 100-07-CA:
 308 Congress Street

 Applicant:
 Beth Mund

 Received:
 06/15/07 (+45 Days: 07/31/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:DeTonti SquareClassification:ContributingZoning:R-BProject:Reconfigure rear steps.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, this one-story frame residence with Victorian elements was built circa 1900 at 971 Springhill Avenue. In 2002 it was moved to 308 Congress for restoration.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. There is currently a rear staircase attached to the residence with no landing and five wood steps facing north. There is a cloth awning covering the area.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[porch] materials should blend in with the style of the building. The balustrade of the stairs should match the design and materials of the porch."
- C. The proposed work will turn the existing rear steps from the north to the east and west sides per the submitted plans. There will be a landing the full width of the existing rear double door and all new construction will match the existing steps, including materials and the design of the handrail.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the proposed work that will not impair the historic integrity of the building or the district. The new steps will match the existing steps.

Staff recommends approving the application.

 101-07-CA:
 255 South Cedar Street

 Applicant:
 David Crowder

 Received:
 06/21/07 (+45 Days: 08/05/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Church Street EastClassification:Non-ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Replace iron rail on balcony with masonry rail. Install a copper gutter.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, this two-story masonry residence was built in 1999.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. There is currently an iron rail on the balcony with a fleur-de-lis motif. The balcony is supported by two fluted iron posts.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state that new architectural elements should respect the style and age of the building.
- C. The proposed work will replace the existing iron rail with a stone rail per the submitted photograph and add a copper gutter around the edge of the balcony.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the work will not impair the historic integrity of the district. This residence, like the majority of residences in this part of Church Street East, is new construction. However, while staff does not take issue with the design or materials, staff believes the scale of the new materials will overpower the small porch, particularly the iron columns, and feels that the rail should be built more to scale with the existing porch.

Staff recommends approving the application; however, staff also encourages Mr. Crowder to find a more appropriately scaled alternative.

 102-07-CA:
 959 Palmetto Street

 Applicant:
 Mark Macinnes

 Received:
 06/21/07 (+45 Days: 08/05/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Oakleigh GardenClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Reside rear elevation with inverted board and batten.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, this one-story frame residence with Victorian elements was built circa 1899. Part of the front porch was enclosed around 1965.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. The rear elevation of this residence was recently sided with inverted board and batten. A complaint from a fellow resident of the Oakleigh Garden Historic District prompted staff to investigate and issue Mr. Macinnes a Notice of Violation for failure to obtain Board approval for the work.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[t]he exterior material of a building helps define its style, quality and historic period. The original siding should be retained and repaired. Replacement of exterior finishes, when required, must match the original in profile, dimension and material."
- C. The applicant is asking the Board to allow the new siding to remain.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the work does impair the historic integrity of the house and the district. The siding on the rear elevation is inappropriate for the residence and significantly alters the character of the building.

Staff recommends denying the application.

103-07-CA:28 South Lafayette StreetApplicant:Douglas KearleyReceived:06/22/07 (+45 Days: 08/06/07)Meeting:07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Old Dauphin WayClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Reconfigure rear shed structure.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, Belle Hansen Taylor built this one and one-half story frame residence circa 1908. Although previous Sanborn maps show an outbuilding on the lot, the existing rear shed is not contemporary to the main residence. The elements on the shed suggest that it was either built in the 1940s or built with materials salvaged from that era.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. Currently, the rear shed has board and batten siding, wood casement windows and a four-panel wood door. It appears in good condition.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[t]he appropriateness of accessory structures shall be measured by the guidelines applicable to new construction. The structure should complement the design and scale of the main building."
- C. The proposed work includes the following:
 - 1. Raise the structure with new brick piers and lattice.
 - 2. Reclad roof in either metal panels to match the main residence or asphalt dimensional shingles.
 - 3. Reclad building in 1x6 wood siding 5" to weather.
 - 4. Repair as necessary rotted or damaged wood elements to include the door, windows and eaves with materials that match existing in material, profile and dimension.
 - 5. Construct a small gabled wood porch per the submitted elevations.
 - 6. Paint shed to match the main house.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the work will not impair the historic integrity of the house or the district. The work is being done on a secondary non-contributing structure.

Staff recommends approving the application.

 104-07-CA:
 1604 Dauphin Street

 Applicant:
 Douglas Kearley

 Received:
 06/22/07 (+45 Days: 08/06/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Old Dauphin WayClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Enclose upper rear porch.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, T.S. Moore built this two-story frame residence with Classical elements in 1908 Its expansive front porch, stained-glass windows and other details reflects the homes of the early 20th century. A 1999 fire damaged much of the rear second story. It was later rebuilt to match the original.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. Currently, the porch on the second floor is open. The porch on the first floor is enclosed with lattice.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[w]here rear or side porches are to be enclosed, one recommended method is to preserve the original configuration of columns, handrails and other important architectural features."
- C. The proposed work includes the following:
 - 1. Install a wall with fixed wood louvered blinds within the existing handrail and columns per the submitted elevations.
 - 2. Install a wood 1/1 double-hung sash window with operable wood shutters on the west side per the submitted elevations.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the work will not impair the historic integrity of the house or the district. The porch enclosure will preserve the original configuration of the existing architectural features.

Staff recommends approving the application.

 105-07-CA:
 754 Government Street

 Applicant:
 Max Morey

 Received:
 06/25/07 (+45 Days: 08/09/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Church Street EastClassification:Non-ContributingZoning:B-4Project:Construct a new residence.

BUILDING HISTORY

This is an empty lot that is part of the former Birch and Hatfield building.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. As mentioned above, this is an empty lot that is part of the former Birch and Hatfield building.
- B. The Guidelines for New Residential and Commercial Construction state "the goal of new construction should be to blend into the historic district but to avoid creating a false sense of history."
- C. The proposed work will construct a new three-story brick residence per the submitted plans:
 - 1. The foundation will be concrete slab.
 - 2. The exterior will be brick removed from 208 Dauphin Street (Derry's Ole Tyme Café) with stone quoins along the front façade.
 - 3. The built-up roof will be flat with a brick gabled parapet at the front and rear elevations with cement faced molding.
 - 4. The industrial-style windows will be black-painted steel.
 - 5. The doors will be wood; the doors on the first floor will have transoms.
 - 6. There will be wood swinging garage doors at the front and rear elevations of the first floor.
 - 7. There will be iron balconies at the rear elevation of the second and third floors.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that the new construction should not impair the historic integrity of the district.

The proposed construction follows the setback and orientation pattern of buildings in the vicinity. Its massing and scale, including the slab foundation and simple rectangular footprint, are proportional to buildings typical of this part of the district. It has iron balconies on the rear elevation, an "important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture." Ornamentation such as the industrial-style windows, quoins, parapet and garage doors is inspired by nearby buildings, yet has a contemporary feel. As such, it succeeds in the ultimate goal of guidelines for new construction, which state, "new designs should relate to the historic context yet read as contemporary" as well as "avoid creating a false sense of history."

However, the Board in the past has rejected the idea of garage doors on Government Street. With the rear entrance, a better design for the façade could be developed and the parking in the rear could remain. Staff also noted a few problems with traditional proportions in the building – the surface to void ratio of the first floor appears too large, the step-up on the façade corner could be larger to provide a more aesthetically accurate ratio; the rear second and third floor doors appear under scaled and too close to the windows; and finally, the rear first floor and cornice proportions mirror those on the front.

Staff recommends denying the application and asking Mr. Morey to redesign the first floor façade and consider addressing the proportion issues mentioned above.

 106-07-CA:
 1212 New St. Francis Street

 Applicant:
 Charles Holder

 Received:
 06/25/07 (+45 Days: 08/09/07)

 Meeting:
 07/09/07

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:Old Dauphin WayClassification:ContributingZoning:R-1Project:Add two dormers. Install a rear deck or screened porch.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to previous records, this one-story frame Victorian cottage was built circa 1900.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change...will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district..."

STAFF REPORT

- A. This residence is currently vacant and in fair condition. The upstairs is attic space, which Mr. Holder is planning on converting into second floor living space. The chimneys are in poor condition.
- B. The Design Review Guidelines state, "[a]ccessory roof elements not original to the structure...shall be located inconspicuously." The Guidelines also call for renovations to be sympathetic to the age and style of the building.
- C. The proposed work includes the following:
 - 1. Add two gabled dormers to the east side elevation per the submitted plans and specifications; all new materials and elements will match existing including the wood siding, wood trim, roofing and 2/2 wood windows with true divided lights.
 - Construct either a rear deck or a rear screened-in porch (aluminum screen material) per the submitted plans and specifications; all new materials and elements will match existing including the handrail and roofing.
 - 3. Repair rotted or damaged elements as necessary throughout the exterior per the submitted plans and specifications with materials to match existing in material, profile and dimension including the porch deck, handrails and other wood elements.
 - 4. Reroof the residence in 50-year architectural shingles in Charcoal.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, staff feels that dormers are a typical and historic manner of expanding living space into attics. However, they should be constructed to have the least impact on the façade as possible. Staff would recommend locating the forward gable back as far as practical to minimize its effect on the original building. Also, staff feels that there should be gable returns on the dormers and that the chimneys are prominent and character-defining features of the residence. Even if the interior fireplaces are removed, one or both of the chimneys should be repaired and maintained. According to previous conversations, Mr. Holder prefers constructing an enclosed porch at the rear of the residence. Staff has no objection to this item.

Staff recommends approving the application with the recommended changes.